# SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION PART ASSESSMENTS<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For each program that has been assessed using the PART, this document contains details of the most recent assessment. These details are presented in their original form; some programs have revised performance targets and developed or replaced performance measures since the original assessment. The PART summaries published with the 2006 Budget (in February 2005) provide current information on follow-up to recommendations and other updates.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Rating	Page
Business Information Centers	Results Not Demonstrated	. 3
Disaster Loan Program	Effective	g
SCORE	Moderately Effective	23
Section 504 Certified Development Company Guaranteed Loan Program	Adequate	33
Section 7(a) Guaranteed Loan Program	Adequate	42
Small Business Development Centers	Moderately Effective	53
Small Business Investment Company	Adequate	67

Program: **Business Information Centers** Section Scores Rating Agency: Small Business Administration 1 3 4 Results Not Bureau: 20% 50% 100% 27% Demonstrated Type(s): Direct Federal 1.1 Is the program purpose clear? Answer: YES Question Weight 20% Explanation: The Business Information Center (BIC) program, implemented administratively in 1992, provides technology, technology products, and reference material to SBA District offices and community based resource partners in order to improve their technical assistance capabilities. BICs provide early start-up clients access to technology. Evidence: FY 2004 SBA Budget Submission 1.2 Answer: NO Question Weight20% Does the program address a specific and existing problem, interest or need? Explanation: Less than 20% of nascent entrepreneurs actually start businesses. Of those who start businesses, 80 % discontinue operations within five years due to lack of management knowledge according to Dun & Bradstreet and many academic researchers. BICs provide entrepreneurs with access to technology and research materials that can assist clients in making sound management decisions. Nonetheless, these services are available absent the existence of BICs. Evidence: Review of the Academic Literature of Entrepreneurship and Economic Development. Also, results of the Kauffman Foundation Study on "nascent" Entrepreneurs. Answer: NO Question Weight 20% 1.3 Is the program designed so that it is not redundant or duplicative of any other Federal, state, local or private effort? Explanation: While no other Federally funded program predominantly targets the nascent entrepreneur and the early start-up clients in a single one location, access to technology is available in many other locations, including public libraries, chambers of commerce, etc. Evidence: Is the program design free of major flaws that would limit the program's effectiveness or Answer: NO Question Weight 20% 1.4 efficiency? Explanation: SBA annually leverages its \$475,000 with \$2 million in private sector support. Nonetheless, SBA spent approximately \$14 million in administrative funds in FY 2002 to manage and support this program. Evidence: Activity Based Costing system Answer: NO Question Weight 20% 1.5 Is the program effectively targeted, so that resources will reach intended beneficiaries and/or otherwise address the program's purpose directly?

3

Explanation: Needs analysis of the target market are not consistently used in allocating BIC funds.

Evidence:

Program:	Business Information Centers	Section Scores		Rating		
Agency:	Small Business Administration	1	n sec 2	3	4	Results Not
Bureau:		20%		100%	27%	Demonstrated
Type(s):	Direct Federal					
2.1	Does the program have a limited number of specific long-term performance measures that focus on outcomes and meaningfully reflect the purpose of the program?	Answer:	NO		Qı	uestion Weight12%
Explanation	While the program has several output goals (customer satisfaction, number of clients counseled and treem outcome goals and measures.	ained, and	unit o	cost), it l	acks m	eaningful, long-
Evidence:	SBA SCORECARD and Strategic Plan					
2.2	Does the program have ambitious targets and timeframes for its long-term measures?	Answer:	NO		Qı	uestion Weight12%
Explanation	In the absence of long-term, outcome goals, ambitious targets and timeframes for measures are unava	ilable.				
Evidence:						
2.3	Does the program have a limited number of specific annual performance measures that can demonstrate progress toward achieving the program's long-term goals?	Answer:	YES	8	Qı	uestion Weight12%
Explanation	on: Client outputs are measured quarterly including specific demographic classifications. Management Oversight Reviews are conducted to ascertain the level of accomplishments toward long-term goals.					to ascertain the
Evidence:	BIC Demographic Data Base, Strategic Plan.					
2.4	Does the program have baselines and ambitious targets for its annual measures?	Answer:	YES	3	Q	uestion Weight12%
Explanation	Baseline data are measured using FY 2002 actual performance and annual targets are developed. An clients (3% annual) and customer satisfaction (80%> approval rating).	nual target	s for t	he BICs	are in	crease number of
Evidence:	SBA 's Congressional Budget Submission FY 2004					
2.5	Do all partners (including grantees, sub-grantees, contractors, cost-sharing partners, and other government partners) commit to and work toward the annual and/or long-term goals of the program?	Answer:	NO		Qı	uestion Weight12%
Explanation	n: Until FY 2003, there was no formal system assure that partners committed to and worked towards the annual and long-term goals of the program. SBA now requires all BIC sites to sign an annual standards agreement to provide services and serve clients which incorporates the annual and long-term goals of SBA.					
Evidence:	SBA Standards of Excellence and Cosponsorship Files					

Program:	Business Information Centers		Section Scores			Rating	
Agency:	Small Business Administration	1	2	3	4	Results Not	
Bureau:		20%	50%	100%	27%	Demonstrated	
Type(s):	Direct Federal						
2.6	Are independent evaluations of sufficient scope and quality conducted on a regular basis or as needed to support program improvements and evaluate effectiveness and relevance to the problem, interest, or need?	Answer	NO		Qı	uestion Weight12%	
Explanation:	To date, there have been no independent evaluation of the impact of the BIC program. SBA has developed independent evaluation of technical assistance programs.	To date, there have been no independent evaluation of the impact of the BIC program. SBA has developed a draft statement of work for an independent evaluation of technical assistance programs.					
Evidence:	SBA SCORECARD and Strategic Plan						
2.7	Are Budget requests explicitly tied to accomplishment of the annual and long-term performance goals, and are the resource needs presented in a complete and transparent manner in the program's budget?	Answer	YES		Qı	estion Weight:12%	
Explanation:	Beginning in FY 2003, budget and performance integration has begun. SBA's FY 2003 budget submis achieve program output targets. In addition the agency has a cost allocation study which identifies the				resou	rces necessary to	
Evidence:	SBA 's Congressional Budget Submission FY 2004						
2.8	Has the program taken meaningful steps to correct its strategic planning deficiencies?	Answer	YES		Qι	uestion Weight:12%	
Explanation:	Beginning in FY 2003, the BIC program was actively involved in the agency's strategic plan. BICs are currently in the SBA's SCORECARD and has developed long-term strategies for delivering the needed informational and assistance to the nascent entrepreneur market. In addition, the program office has developed a "Standards of Excellence" memorandum of understanding for program intermediaries.						
Evidence:	SBA SCORECARD, Strategic Plan, Standards of Excellence.						
3.1	Does the agency regularly collect timely and credible performance information, including information from key program partners, and use it to manage the program and improve performance?	Answer	YES		Qı	uestion Weight:14%	
Explanation:	Annual Customer Satisfaction Surveys are consistently administered across all BICs. Monthly/Quarte in respect to program performance. Quarterly Management Oversight Reviews begun in FY 2002 will				e collec	eted and analyzed	
Evidence:	SBA 's BIC Annual Client Satisfaction Survey (OMB approved). Management Oversight Review Repo	orts. BIC I	emogr	aphic D	atabas	e.	
3.2	Are Federal managers and program partners (including grantees, sub-grantees, contractors, cost-sharing partners, and other government partners) held accountable for cost, schedule and performance results?	Answer	YES		Qı	uestion Weight:14%	
Explanation:	Federal Managers are held accountable for BIC performance results through data monitoring systems	s.					
Evidence:	Economic Development Management Information System						

Program:	Business Information Centers	Soatio	n Coonea		Rating		
Agency:	Small Business Administration	Secuo 1	n Scores	4	Results Not		
Bureau:		20%	50% 100%	27%	Demonstrated		
Type(s):	Direct Federal						
3.3	Are funds (Federal and partners') obligated in a timely manner and spent for the intended purpose?	Answer:	YES	Q	uestion Weight:14%		
Explanation:	The annual BIC appropriation is obligated by SBA's Office of Procurement and Grants Management at management in Economic Development.	fter review	and commitm	ent by	senior		
Evidence:	Federal Acquisition Regulations	deral Acquisition Regulations					
3.4	Does the program have procedures (e.g. competitive sourcing/cost comparisons, IT improvements, appropriate incentives) to measure and achieve efficiencies and cost effectiveness in program execution?	Answer:	YES	Q	uestion Weight:14%		
Explanation:	In addition to complying with all FAR regulations for procurement, "Standards of Excellence" have been measuring the impact of these new standards for efficiency of program execution. Also, a plan has been while not comprising program execution.						
Evidence:	SBA's newly created "Standards of Excellence"						
3.5	Does the program collaborate and coordinate effectively with related programs?	Answer:	YES	$\mathbf{Q}$	uestion Weight:14%		
Explanation:	Currently, SCORE, Small Business Development Centers, and Women's Business Centers all work with	th and in th	ne BIC.				
Evidence:							
3.6	Does the program use strong financial management practices?	Answer:	YES	Q	uestion Weight:14%		
Explanation:	The BIC program follows the policies and requirements of the Federal Management Act. The program directives and guidance.	also comp	lies with the (	CFO's o	operational		
Evidence:	GPRA						
3.7	Has the program taken meaningful steps to address its management deficiencies?	Answer:	YES	Q	uestion Weight:14%		
Explanation:	The program office created the Standards of Excellence and instituted management Oversight Reviews	s.					
Evidence:	SBA's newly created "Standards of Excellence"						
4.1	Has the program demonstrated adequate progress in achieving its long-term performance goals?	Answer:	NO	Q	uestion Weight20%		
Explanation:	While BICs have achieved an 90 percent customer satisfaction score from clients, the agency lacks long measure the economic impact of the program.	g-term, out	come oriented	goals	from which to		
Evidence:	Annual BIC Client Satisfaction Survey						

Program:	Business Information Centers	Section	n Score	,	Rating		
Agency:	Small Business Administration	1		, 3 4			
Bureau:		20%	50% 10		10000100 1100		
Type(s):	Direct Federal				_		
4.2	Does the program (including program partners) achieve its annual performance goals?	Answer:	YES		Question Weight20%		
Explanation:	BICs are on target to achieve a 3 percent growth in clients served and has achieved a 90 percent appreclients surveyed.	SICs are on target to achieve a 3 percent growth in clients served and has achieved a 90 percent approval rating from over 700 randomly selected lients surveyed.					
Evidence:	BIC Demographic Database SBA FY 2003 and 2004 Budget submission and Annual report.						
4.3	Does the program demonstrate improved efficiencies or cost effectiveness in achieving program goals each year?	Answer:	SMALI EXTEN		Question Weight20%		
Explanation:	While SBA has been transitioning BICs to community-based sites with no direct SBA personnel involves costs were approximately \$14 million in FY 2002 to manage and support only \$475,000 in grants.	vement (to	reduce co	sts), pro	gram administrative		
Evidence:	Activity Based Costing system						
4.4	Does the performance of this program compare favorably to other programs, including government, private, etc., with similar purpose and goals?	Answer:	NO		Question Weight20%		
Explanation:	: The program is duplicative of other federal and non-profit initiatives. It lacks measurable economic impact data and is expensive to management and support.						
Evidence:	Activity Based Costing system						
4.5	Do independent evaluations of sufficient scope and quality indicate that the program is effective and achieving results?	Answer:	NO		Question Weight20%		
Explanation:	There have been no independent evaluations to measure the economic impact of the program. Noneth capable of measuring the appropriate outcomes for each ED program.	neless, SBA	is develo	ping an	overall research plan		
Evidence:	SBA SCORECARD and Strategic Plan						

Program: **Business Information Centers** 

Agency:

**Bureau:** 

Direct Federal Type(s):

**Section Scores** Rating **Small Business Administration** 1 4 Results Not 20% 50% 100% 27% Demonstrated

Number of small businesses counseled and trained. Measure:

**Additional Information:** 

> Measure Term: Annual <u>Year</u> **Target Actual**

2002 146,410 146,658

2003 151,058

2004 155,590

Measure: Percent of customers satisfied with program services.

Additional Information:

> Measure Term: Annual Year **Target Actual**

2002 90.0% 83.0%

2003 85.5%

2004 88.0%

Unit Cost (\$ per client served). Measure:

Cost per client served. FY 2002 did not have target. **Additional** 

2002

**Information:** 

Measure Term: Annual (Efficiency Measure) Year **Target Actual** 

\$81

8

2003 \$84

2004 \$82

Program:Disaster Loan ProgramSection ScoresAgency:Small Business Administration123

Bureau: Office of Disaster Assistance 100% 100% 100% 73%

Type(s): Credit

### 1.1 Is the program purpose clear?

Explanation: The purpose of the program is to help families and businesses recover from disasters. Both the program's purpose and the SBA Office of Disaster

Assistance (ODA) mission statement are clear, concise and consistent.

Evidence: The Small Business Act (Section 2(e)) states "[it is the declared policy of the Congress that the Government should aid and assist victims of floods and

other catastrophies". Accordingly, SBA's Mission Statement in this regard is "[to help people recover from disasters and rebuild their lives by

providing affordable, timely and accessible financial assistance to homeowners, renters and businesses."

#### 1.2 Does the program address a specific and existing problem, interest or need?

Explanation: The program specifically addresses victims (homeowners, renters and businesses) suffering uncompensated losses resulting from disasters.

Evidence: Disasters occur at unpredictable times and with differing levels of intensity. Victims sustain varying degrees of uninsured loss. As a result, a five year

average of the agency's published statistics show the Disaster Loan Program averages approximately 32,000 loan approvals to homeowners, renters

and businesses for almost \$1 billion annually. The agency responded to 229 disaster declarations in FY '03.

1.3 Is the program designed so that it is not redundant or duplicative of any other Federal, Answer: YES Question Weight 20%

state, local or private effort?

Explanation: The program is not duplicative of other Federal, state, local or private effort. Disaster loans fill the assistance gap where private insurance or other

government programs leave off. Extensive electronic communication, information sharing and coordination procedures exist between federal, state,

and local programs to prevent redundancies and duplication.

Evidence: The Small Business Act and the Stafford Act prohibit duplication with any other department or agency of the Federal government. Further, the

Federal Response Plan (FRP) was developed to organize the federal government's response to disasters and includes measures to assure that

regulatory prohibitions of duplication at all levels are met.

9 PROGRAM ID: 10001149

Rating

Effective

Question Weight 20%

Question Weight 20%

4

Answer: YES

Answer: YES

Program:Disaster Loan ProgramSection ScoresRatingAgency:Small Business Administration1234EffectiveBureau:Office of Disaster Assistance100%100%100%73%

**Type(s):** Credit

1.4 Is the program design free of major flaws that would limit the program's effectiveness or Answer: YES Question Weight 20%

efficiency?

Explanation: The Disaster Loan program is free of major design flaws that would limit the program's effectiveness or efficiency. The program is designed to assist individuals and businesses adversaly effected by disasters. Upon dealeration of a disaster. SBA establishes field effices in the effected area (often

individuals and businesses adversely affected by disasters. Upon declaration of a disaster, SBA establishes field offices in the affected area (often within a day) and coordinates disaster relief activities with federal, state, and local entities. On-site inspectors verify damage and agency personnel collect applications. The agency reviews applications for creditworthiness and ensures that the disaster loans are not made for losses already covered by insurance, grants, or other types of assistance from governmental or private entities. On average, SBA completes loan processing in 12 days. Agency statistics show that a majority of home and business owners affected by disaster would have undue hardship finding disaster loans from private sector lenders at reasonable rates and terms to repair/replace their losses.

Evidence: As evidence of the program's efficiency, studies covering cost efficiency and business process re-engineering have been completed. Functional

Statement of need, and Requirements Analysis, was originally dated 08/09/00; However, in March of 2003, the requirements were revalidated and a revised document, "Revalidated Functional Statement of Need and System Requirements," was produced. Market Research was documented in the "Loan Management COTS Software Market Survey," dated November 30, 2002. The Alternatives Analysis, and Benefit-Cost analysis were documented in the "Loan Management COTS Software Market Survey," dated November 30, 2002. The Alternatives 2002 and System Requirements and Benefit-Cost analysis were documented in the "Loan Management COTS Software Market Survey," dated November 30, 2002. The Alternatives 2002 and System Requirements are reveniently and the statement of th

in the "Business Case for Disaster Credit Management Modernization Report," dated March 28, 2001).

1.5 Is the program effectively targeted, so that resources will reach intended beneficiaries Answer: YES Question Weight20%

and/or otherwise address the program's purpose directly?

Explanation: Tight restrictions in this regard are already in place due to legislative and regulatory requirements that prohibit victims from receiving duplicate

benefits (from any source) for the same loss. In addition, appropriate internal controls, including on site verification of the loss and supervised

disbursement of loan proceeds, are well-established and in place to prevent inappropriate or unauthorized use of loan proceeds.

Evidence: Annual Quality Assurance Reviews (QARs) are conducted to help monitor and minimize "Erroneous Payments." Extensive Internal Controls are

already in place to minimize unauthorized use of loan funds and approval of loans to ineligible entities. Preliminary reviews indicate "Erroneous Payments" are at a very low level. Based on a review of the 480 files analyzed in the FY 2003 Field Quality Assurance Reviews, the dollar amount

erroneous payment rate was determined to be 0.4 percent.

2.1 Does the program have a limited number of specific long-term performance measures that Answer: YES Question Weight:14%

focus on outcomes and meaningfully reflect the purpose of the program?

Explanation: SBA has developed long term outcome goals to measure the impact of disaster loan assistance on those homeowners, renters and businesses affected

 $by\ disasters.\ These\ include\ measure\ (in\ percentages)\ of\ restoration\ of\ both\ homes\ and\ businesses\ as\ well\ as\ a\ measure\ of\ the\ number\ (in\ percentages)$ 

of businesses operational post disaster.

Evidence: Strategic Plan, Measures tab

**Program:** Disaster Loan Program Section Scores Rating Agency: Small Business Administration 1 3 4 Effective **Bureau:** 100% 100% 100% 73% Office of Disaster Assistance Type(s): Credit Answer: YES 2.2 Does the program have ambitious targets and timeframes for its long-term measures? Question Weight:14% Explanation: The SBA's measures provide ambitious time frames within which businesses and homes must be restored and ambitious timeframes within which businesses must be operational. Evidence: See measures section. 2.3 Answer: YES Question Weight:14% Does the program have a limited number of specific annual performance measures that can demonstrate progress toward achieving the program's long-term goals? Explanation: Within each outcome goal, SBA has established annual performance measures to measure progress towards achievement of the long term goals set for 2008. Evidence: See measures section. 2.4 Does the program have baselines and ambitious targets for its annual measures? Answer: YES Question Weight:14% Explanation: Appropriate baselines and quantitative measures are established so that performance goals can be easily measured. In addition, the measures section of this document reflect annual measures that have been in place for some time. Evidence: Existing and new annual performance measures are stated in the "measures" section of this document and will be included in the 2005 GPRA documents. Answer: NA Question Weight: 0% 2.5 Do all partners (including grantees, sub-grantees, contractors, cost-sharing partners, and other government partners) commit to and work toward the annual and/or long-term goals of the program? Explanation: SBA does not have program partners as defined by the question. SBA's Office of Disaster Assistance deals directly with the disaster victim and is solely responsible for monitoring and reporting output and outcome goals of the program.

Evidence:

Program:	Disaster Loan Program	Section Scores	Doting				
Agency:	Small Business Administration	1 2 3	Rating 4 Effective				
Bureau:	Office of Disaster Assistance	100% 100% 100%	73%				
Type(s):	Credit						
2.6	Are independent evaluations of sufficient scope and quality conducted on a regular basis or as needed to support program improvements and evaluate effectiveness and relevance to the problem, interest, or need?	Answer: YES	Question Weight:14%				
Explanation	At least ten (10) Independent evaluations of the Office of Disaster Assistance (ODA) by GAO and the SBA's Inspector General since FY 01, including one GAO review which included ODA's current Strategic Plan and accompanying outcomes, long-term performance and output measures in its review have been performed. Findings and recommendations were utilized to construct an improved Strategic Plan with logically connected goals and measurements.						
Evidence:	OIG reports: 1-12, Information Systems Control Audit), 2-04 (Review of Financial Statements), dated 2-27-02; 2-10 and 2-12 (Early Defaulted Loans); OIG Mid year review of Agency Progress on the FY2002 Management Challenges: 2-26 (Out of Sequence Payments); 3-13 Audit of Economic Injury Disaster Loans; OIG Semi-Annual report to Congress (Spring 2001 and Fall 2001); OIG review of GPRA progress at request Representative Dan Burton, 04/05/01; GAO report GAO-01-592 (Federal Managers views on Key Management issues); GAO-01-260 SBA Challenges; GAO-03-385 Response to 911 Victims; GAO-03-721T (Observations on the Disaster Loan Program).						
2.7	Are Budget requests explicitly tied to accomplishment of the annual and long-term performance goals, and are the resource needs presented in a complete and transparent manner in the program's budget?	Answer: YES	Question Weight:14%				
Explanation	: Subsidy budget requests are based on the five-year average annual loan volume. Should the number or severity of disasters result in a loan volume requirement that exceeds the average, supplemental appropriations are requested. Budget requests for administrative costs are based on our service level performance indicators. SBA Budget and Performance Plan clearly indicates the full costs of achieving our goals.						
Evidence:	Annual Budget and Performance Plan and Marginal cost calculation.						
2.8	Has the program taken meaningful steps to correct its strategic planning deficiencies?	Answer: YES	Question Weight:14%				
Explanation	SBA disaster program management has been proactive in taking meaningful steps to correct its strate development of a new program strategic plan.	gic planning deficiencies	through the				
Evidence:	New program Strategic Plan developed in 2003.						

Program:	Disaster Loan Program		<u> </u>				
Agency:	Small Business Administration	Section Scores 1 2 3	Rating 4 Effective				
Bureau:	Office of Disaster Assistance	= = *	73%				
Type(s):	Credit						
3.1	Does the agency regularly collect timely and credible performance information, including information from key program partners, and use it to manage the program and improve performance?	Answer: YES	Question Weight11%				
Explanation	ODA's management information system provides credible performance information from all key managers and offices throughout the country. This information allows headquarters' management to review all important performance outputs, relative staffing and cost of operations. Management regularly utilizes this information to make decisions to manage the program and improve its performance. The agency maintains an extensive data base and reporting system to track and measure daily and monthly loan servicing and collection performance at 5 loan servicing centers where over 95% of the agency's portfolio resides. Each servicing center has many additional performance reports available from local portfolio data bases.						
Evidence:	e: ODA has immediate access to the complete staffing structure of all its Disaster Area Officesboth individually and collectively. Accordingly, ODA headquarters' management regularly monitors the "workload to staff" ratio to keep the Area Offices operating as efficiently as possible. ODA Headquarters also uses this information to impose staffing restrictions on the field based on other external factors such as budget. For Servicing, the Agency's Management Information Summary Reports, Center Activity and Program Goals Reports and "Cash Collections-All Service Centers" are utilized.						
3.2	Are Federal managers and program partners (including grantees, sub-grantees, contractors, cost-sharing partners, and other government partners) held accountable for cost, schedule and performance results?	Answer: YES	Question Weight:11%				
Explanation							
Evidence:	ODA individual performance plans. For servicing, these activities are monitored by utilizing the Center	er Activity and Program G	oals report.				
3.3	Are funds (Federal and partners') obligated in a timely manner and spent for the intended purpose?	Answer: YES	Question Weight:11%				
Explanation	Program funds are obligated consistently with overall program plans. Field managers are also require approval so that management can ensure that funds properly correspond to the appropriate resource has developed adequate procedures for reporting and evaluating actual expenditures and is not in viol	needs of the program plan	. The Disaster Program				
Evidence:	Quarterly Allotment reports, Financial Statement Audits.						

Program: Disaster Loan Program Section Scores Rating Agency: **Small Business Administration** 2 3 1 4 Effective **Bureau:** 100% 100% 100% 73% Office of Disaster Assistance Type(s): Credit

3.4 Does the program have procedures (e.g. competitive sourcing/cost comparisons, IT

Answer: YES

Question Weight:11%
improvements, appropriate incentives) to measure and achieve efficiencies and cost

effectiveness in program execution?

Explanation: Cost comparison measures and competitive outsourcing plans are currently in place. In addition, the Disaster Credit Management System (electronic

processing and tracking system) is in process of being implemented, which will enhance management's ability to measure and achieve efficiencies.

Evidence: Competitive sourcing plans, Disaster Credit Management System implementation summary, and activity based costing.

3.5 Does the program collaborate and coordinate effectively with related programs? Answer: YES Question Weight:11%

Explanation: SBA's disaster program coordinates effectively with DHS/FEMA and all other federal, state and local agencies that have disaster assistance programs.

For example, SBA, DHS/FEMA and the other agencies share duplication of benefits information through DHS/FEMA's NEMIS management information system. SBA's Disaster Program also collaborated with DHS/FEMA on the development of the DisasterHelp.Gov web-site and is still actively involved in this e-gov initiative. The Federal Response Plan sets forth the coordination requirements and procedures for all agencies with disaster programs and SBA has always been an active member of that group. Currently, SBA is coordinating with DHS/FEMA and other parters to

update and revise the National Response Plan to more effectively respond to terrorist attacks and other catastrophic disaster events.

Evidence: The Federal Response Plan sets forth the coordination requirements and procedures for all agencies with disaster programs and SBA has always been

an active member of that group. The National Response Plan (Recovery Function Annex) when revised will continue to provide the framework for this

collaboration.

3.6 Does the program use strong financial management practices? Answer: YES Question Weight:11%

Explanation: The program has financial management and review procedures that prevent duplication and minimize potential erroneous payments. The Agency

maintains an extensive data base and reporting system to track and measure daily and monthly loan servicing and collection performance at five loan servicing centers where over 95% of the Agency's portfolio resides. Each servicing center has many additional performance reports available from local portfolio data bases with which to monitor and track new loans received, and address poor performing loans or identify borrowers in need of servicing assistance. Finally, the Agency developed a new subsidy model in FY 2003. The model was validated by an external firm, and approved for use by

OMB.

Evidence: Financial statement audit reports for 2003 improved. The SBA auditor stated: "Our review of SBA's disaster assistance re-estimate model disclosed

that the model appears satisfactory with respect to documentation, verification and validation given the limitations of the available data and the inherent uncertainty of the underlying events." In addition, servicing activities continue to be monitored by using the MARS 390, Mars 391 and

Management Information Summary Reports.

Program:Disaster Loan ProgramSection ScoresRatingAgency:Small Business Administration1234EffectiveBureau:Office of Disaster Assistance100% 100% 100% 73%

**Type(s):** Credit

3.7 Has the program taken meaningful steps to address its management deficiencies? Answer: YES Question Weight:11%

Explanation: Management routinely monitors cost and performance data to ensure efficient operations. Management regularly utilizes this information to make

day-to-day and strategic decisions on program operations. In addition, ODA has new outcome oriented goals to support the program. In addition to monitoring daily loan servicing operations to detect portfolio or management deficiencies, management has created an extensive cost analysis of its Disaster Home Loan Servicing Center operations. This analysis extends over the past three years of cost data created from agency-wide Cost Allocation Models utilizing the Activity Based Cost Models. The data was used in part to compare Agency servicing costs to those of a private

contractor, proving the Agency more cost effective.

Evidence: Quality Assurance Reports identify deficiencies, makes recommendations for improvements and requires field management to respond with a written

plan of action. For servicing, a comparative analysis report, "The Disaster Home Loan Servicing demonstration, Final Report to Congress" dated 1-17-

03, has been submitted to Congress and compares cost of contractor operations (ACS) and Agency servicing operations.

3.CR1 Is the program managed on an ongoing basis to assure credit quality remains sound, Answer: YES Question Weight:11%

collections and disbursements are timely, and reporting requirements are fulfilled?

Explanation: The disaster loan portfolio is highly centralized in 2 commercial and 3 disaster Home Loan Servicing Centers. Monthly center and main frame reports

focus on key management and operating goal indicators to assure proper portfolio management procedures. These reports and procedures are consistent with risk and oversight analysis. In addition, SBA conducts detailed field reviews of each center operation to assure consistency with policy, good credit administration, and agency operating guidelines as well as discovering "best practices." A private loan servicing contractor was allowed to service 30% of the Agency's Disaster Home Loan Portfolio for a four year period (since expired). Monthly reports, daily cash collection reconciliations

and several physical audits were performed on the contractor's performance for risk and quality assurance purposes.

Evidence: SBA uses an intensive early response approach to the servicing of disaster loans based primarily on payment status to identify loans that require

increased servicing attention. SBA monitors and tracks loans closely if they become delinquent and follows up with borrowers within 10 days of payment default using automated systems (a high volume autodialer for telephone contact and a variety of pre-programmed letters for mail contact). SBA will explore combining its present rapid response process with the use of an early warning system to determine the feasibility of more effective

monitoring and the possibility of reducing program costs. SBA will seek to determine if an early warning system will provide a supplemental benefit.

3.CR2 Do the program's credit models adequately provide reliable, consistent, accurate and Answer: YES Question Weight:11%

transparent estimates of costs and the risk to the Government?

Explanation: During the FY 2002 financial statement audit, auditors concluded that the agency financing account balances may not have been accurate in reflecting

the cost of the program. Subsequent research indicated that the budgetary model underestimated loan costs. As such, SBA developed a new model to

calculate disaster loan subsidy costs.

Evidence: See evidence/data provided in conjunction with Question # 3.6

Program:Disaster Loan ProgramSection ScoresRatingAgency:Small Business Administration1234EffectiveBureau:Office of Disaster Assistance100% 100% 100% 73%

**Type(s):** Credit

Evidence:

4.1 Has the program demonstrated adequate progress in achieving its long-term performance Answer: SMALL Question Weight 20%

goals?

Explanation: ODA established long term performance goals as part of implementing its Strategic Plan which was approved in 2003 and implemented at the

beginning of FY2004. Results are posted electronically on the Agency's Execution Scorecard and contains all of ODA's Strategic goals, long term goals, outcomes and outputs as recorded in the ODA Strategic Plan. Accordingly, performance results are routinely measured to judge progress toward

achieving published long-term performance goals. Current results show satisfactory progress being made in achieving long term goals.

Evidence: ODA Strategic Plan; SBA's Execution Scorecard.

4.2 Does the program (including program partners) achieve its annual performance goals? Answer: LARGE Question Weight 20%

EXTENT

**EXTENT** 

Explanation: SBA's disaster program currently achieves its annual performance goals. These goals are now included in ODA's expanded and revised Strategic Plan

and GPRA documentation.

and of the documentation.

The Automated Loan Control System (ALCS) report # 85 covers elapsed time to process a loan application and is used to track the number of loans processed within 7 to 21 days. ALCS report # 84 tracks the number and percentage of approved loans receiving a disbursement within 5 days of loan closing. Effective Field Presence within 3 days is measured by direct verification of ODA. Credit Underwriting Compliance rate is measured by annual Quality Assurance Reviews of the 4 Disaster Area Offices. These reviews are supervised by ODA staff. Finally, the Customer Satisfaction rate is

measured by a Customer Service Survey.

4.3 Does the program demonstrate improved efficiencies or cost effectiveness in achieving Answer: LARGE Question Weight 20%

program goals each year?

Explanation: SBA implemented its Home Expedited Loan Officer's Report (HELOR) which simplified procedures and reduced processing time from 2 hrs per loan to

20 minutes per loan for Home Disaster Loans of \$25K or less and a credit score of 640 or more. Depending on the Area, loans that qualify to be processed under HELOR criteria range from 13% to 20%. SBA also created new standardized Training Manuals for Loss Verifiers and Loan Officers, that are distributed nationally to loss verification and loan processing staff across the country. SBA also compiled and distributed to the field standardized and periodically updated cost guidelines for loss verifiers to base cost estimates for disaster repair. Such updated cost guidelines and standardized training reduces the need for re-verification and reprocessing of disaster losses which in turn, helps make the program more timely and

cost efficient.

Evidence: The "Annual Summary Report of Disaster Loans Processed within 21 days" shows consistent improvement in processing times over the past four years.

Beginning FY 2004, the ODA strategic plan incrementally reduces the 21 day target over the next five years to continue efficiency improvement on a long term basis. Additionally, SBA's Office of Disaster Assistance has the master copies for the Loss Verifier's Training Manual and Loan Officer's Training Manual on file for review. Regular monthly reports summarize HELOR activity, market penetration, comparative delinquency, and loss

rates nationwide and by Geographical Area.

Program:Disaster Loan ProgramSection ScoresRatingAgency:Small Business Administration1234EffectiveBureau:Office of Disaster Assistance100% 100% 100% 73%

**Type(s):** Credit

4.4 Does the performance of this program compare favorably to other programs, including Answer: YES Question Weight 20%

government, private, etc., with similar purpose and goals?

Explanation: Under Presidential Disaster Declarations, FEMA provides grants to many affected disaster victims who suffered home and personal property losses.

Some FEMA grants only enable disaster victims (Individuals and Families) to make temporary and emergency repairs to their home. FEMA grants also help cover certain other expenses, e.g., medical expenses, storage, etc., but none of the FEMA grant programs are sufficient to provide homeowners with funds to make permanent repairs to cover the full extent of disaster related and uninsured losses. However, the grant programs are more expensive to the government than the SBA disaster loan program, and they do not fully meet the recovery needs of the disaster victim. Fortunately, disaster victims with unmet needs can qualify for loans under the SBA Disaster Loan program, which is the only disaster relief program

that can provide sufficient funds to fully restore uninsured disaster damage not covered by other disaster programs or insurance recovery.

Evidence: The Federal Response Plan sets forth the plan, procedures, and coordination policies for the various grant and loan programs for all Federal, State and

Local Agencies that have Disaster Response Programs.

4.5 Do independent evaluations of sufficient scope and quality indicate that the program is Answer: YES Question Weight20%

effective and achieving results?

Explanation: Previous evaluations by GAO of SBA's results in responding to 911 victims indicate that the SBA program was highly effective in achieving results as

regards its program delivery. GAO commented that the Disaster Program's goals and measures did not capture the notable progress the program has made in improving its loan processing--progress that ultimately affects disaster loan applicants and borrowers. Accordingly, SBA's Office of Disaster Assistance implemented a new Strategic Plan that is consistent with the President's Management Agenda and the Agency's 5 year Strategic Plan. As a

result, ODA revised its measures and goals to focus more on long term goals and outcomes.

Evidence: Please refer to the independent evaluations listed as evidence in question 2.6. Special attention is directed to GAO reports-03-385 Response to 911

Victims; and GAO-03-721T (Observations on the Disaster Loan Program).

**Program:** Disaster Loan Program

**Agency:** Small Business Administration

**Bureau:** Office of Disaster Assistance

**Type(s):** Credit

 Section Scores
 Rating

 1
 2
 3
 4
 Effective

 100%
 100%
 100%
 73%

Measure: Percent of businesses still operational 6 months after final Economic Injury Disaster Loan (EIDL) disbursement.

**Additional** This measure tracks the percentage of businesses receiving timely initial disbursement of disaster loan funds and business disaster loan borrowers that **Information:** continue to be operational after receiving full disbursement of their EIDL disaster loans.

<u>Year</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Measure Term:	Long-term
2004	75%			
2005	76%			
2006	77%			
2007	78%			
2008	80%			

**Measure:** Percent of home loan applications processed within 18 days

**Additional** This measure tracks the timeliness of home loan processing. Original target was 21 days. 2004 target is reduced to 18 days and continues to decrease **Information:** incrementally to 10days by 2008.

<u>Year</u> 2004	Target 18	<u>Actual</u>	Measure Term: Annual	(Efficiency Measure)
2005	16			
2006	14			
2007	12			
2008	10			

**Program:** Disaster Loan Program

Agency:

**Bureau:** Office of Disaster Assistance

Type(s): Credit

**Section Scores** Rating **Small Business Administration** 3 1 4 Effective 100% 100% 100% 73%

This measure tracks the percentage of mitigation training given to appropriate program employees. Measure:

This measure is designed to require a continuous target of 100% mitigation training to to better qualify all staff dealing with disaster victims to Additional **Information:** increase public awareness and utilization of mitigation loan funds.

<u>Year</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Measure Term:	Annual	(Efficiency Measure)
2004	100%				
2005	100%				
2006	100%				
2007	100%				
2008	100%				

Measure: Percent of businesses who's physical disaster loss is restored 6 months after final disbursement.

This measure tracks the percentage of businesses receiving timely initial disbursement of disaster loan funds and business disaster loan borrowers that Additional **Information:** are restored after receiving full disbursement of their business physical loss disaster loans.

<u>Year</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<b>Measure Term:</b>	Long-term
2004	50%			
2005	55%			
2006	60%			
2007	65%			
2008	70%			

Measure: Percent of homeowners who's physical disaster loss is restored 6 months after final disbursement.

This measure tracks the percentage of homeowners receiving timely initial disbursement of disaster loan funds as well as homeowner disaster loan Additional **Information:** borrowers that are able to restore their homes and/or personal property.

<u>Year</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Measure Term:	Long-term
2004	70%			

Program: Disaster Loan Program **Section Scores** Rating Agency: Small Business Administration 3 4 1 Effective **Bureau:** 100% 100% 100% 73% Office of Disaster Assistance Type(s): Credit 73% 2005 2006 77% 2007 81% 2008 85'%

**Measure:** 

Percent of renters who's physical disaster loss is restored 6 months after final disbursement. This measure is a performance measure associated with the long-term outcome described in number 3 above and is listed separate from homeowner measures (#3 above) only because the annual performance targets are different.

Additional

This measure tracks the percentage of renters receiving timely initial disbursement of disaster loan funds as well as renter disaster loan borrowers that **Information:** are able to restore their personal property within 6 months of final disbursement.

<u>Year</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Measure Term:	Annual	(Efficiency Measure)
2004	80%				
2005	82%				
2006	84%				
2007	86%				
2008	90%				

Measure:

Percent of timely field presence after a disaster declaration

Additional

This measure tracks the percentage of time that SBA staff arrive at the disaster site to organize program delivery within 3 days of the disaster **Information:** declaration.

<u>Year</u> 2000	<u>Target</u> 95%	<u>Actual</u> 100%	Measure Term: Annual	(Efficiency Measure)
2001	95%	100%		
2002	95%	99%		

**Program:** Disaster Loan Program

**Agency:** Small Business Administration

**Bureau:** Office of Disaster Assistance

**Type(s):** Credit

 Section Scores
 Rating

 1
 2
 3
 4
 Effective

 100%
 100%
 100%
 73%

2003 95% 99%

**Measure:** Percent of business loan applications processed within 20 days

**Additional** This measure tracks the timeliness of business loan processing. Original target was 21 days. 2004 target is reduced to 20 days and continues to **Information:** decrease incrementally to 16 days by 2008.

<u>Year</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Measure Term: Annual	(Efficiency Measure)
2004	20			
2005	19			
2006	18			
2007	17			

**Measure:** Percent of loans that receive initial disbursement of proceeds within 5 days of loan closing.

**Additional** This measure tracks the timeliness of disbursing loan funds after loan closing for all disaster loans.

**Information:** 

<u>Year</u> 2002	Target 95%	Actual 96%	Measure Term:	Annual	(Efficiency Measure)
2003	95%	98%			
2004	95%				
2005	95%				
2006	95%				

**Program:** Disaster Loan Program

**Agency:** Small Business Administration

**Bureau:** Office of Disaster Assistance

**Type(s):** Credit

Credit

**Measure:** Percent of customers satisfied with Disaster Loan program services.

**Additional** This measure tracks the percent of disaster loan applicants that are satisfied with the customer service provided by ODA staff. A customer satisfaction **Information:** survey is conducted by an independent concern.

<u>Year</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<b>Measure Term:</b>	Long-term
2004	70%			
2005	70.5%			
2006	71%			
2007	71.5%			
2008	72%			

**Measure:** Percent of increase of disaster loan borrowers participation in mitigation.

Additional This measure tracks the annual increase in subsequent performance years of disaster loan borrower's participation in mitigation from 2003 totals. Information:

Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Measure Term:	Annual	(Efficiency Measure)
2004	50%				
2005	70%				
2006	80%				
2007	90%				
2008	100%				

22 PROGRAM ID: 10001149

**Section Scores** 

2

100% 100% 100%

3

4

73%

1

Rating

Effective

SCORE Program:

Agency: Small Business Administration

Bureau:

1 3 4 Moderately 80% 88% 100% 60% Effective

Type(s): Block/Formula

> 1.1 Is the program purpose clear? Answer: YES Question Weight 20%

Explanation: SCORE, started in 1964, is SBA's oldest Entrepreneurial Development program. Staffed by volunteer working and retired executives and business

owners, the program provides counseling and mentoring to entrepreneurs and existing business owners.

Evidence: SBA's Cooperative Agreement and the National SCORE Associations (NSA) Mission and Vision Statements

1.2 Answer: YES Question Weight20% Does the program address a specific and existing problem, interest or need?

Explanation: 80 percent of new business starts discontinue operations within five years. Among the most prevalent reasons given for the discontinuance is lack of

"knowledge." The program provides business advice through a network of volunteers and provides mentoring.

Evidence: Dun & Bradstreet Annual Survey of Company Failures

Answer: NO Question Weight 20% 1.3 Is the program designed so that it is not redundant or duplicative of any other Federal,

state, local or private effort?

Explanation: The program matches retired and active business executive volunteers with entrepreneurs for technical training and counseling. There is no identical

duplication in federal government, although business training is available through other SBA programs. Additionally, there are some private sector

programs (limited) that provide similar services.

Evidence: SBA OIG Inspection Report: "Coordination and Performance Measurement in SBA's Entrepreneurial Development Programs" September 2000, SBA

budget request and Performance Plan, 2004

Answer: YES 1.4 Is the program design free of major flaws that would limit the program's effectiveness or Question Weight 20%

efficiency?

Explanation: The program relies on 10,900 volunteers in 389 chapters who donate more than 1.1 million volunteer hours per year. These volunteers are supported

by the National SCORE Association (NSA) staff of 13 to manage operations. The program is administered by one full-time SBA staff.

Evidence: SCORE Daily Desktop Guide; SCORE Operating Manual; SCORE Training Manual; SCORE Marketing Guide; SCORE Fundraising Guide;

Cooperative Agreement

23 PROGRAM ID: 10000366

Section Scores

Rating

SCORE Program: Section Scores Rating Agency: Small Business Administration 3 4 1 Moderately Bureau: 80% 88% 100% 60% Effective Type(s): Block/Formula Answer: YES Question Weight20% 1.5 Is the program effectively targeted, so that resources will reach intended beneficiaries and/or otherwise address the program's purpose directly? Explanation: SCORE is a unique program, manned by a counseling team of over 10,500 volunteer Executives -- experienced business leaders from every industry. SCORE has aggressively reached beyond the walls of its chapters to reach entrepreneurs. SCORE actively seeks and forms alliances with leading companies to expand small business outreach, services and resources to communities nationwide -- companies like Lowe's Home Improvement, for example, where an alliance is developing to provide counseling to Lowe's largely entrepreneurial clientele nationwide, in their store locations. Online counseling is now over 33% of SCORE business. The SCORE program serves primarily the nascent entrepreneur market (63% of clients), serving a total of 473,136 clients in 2003. Services are provided based on the needs of the clients -- whether face-to-face or through electronic counseling. Grant funds are exclusive to the development, implementation and delivery of the fundamentals of business management that is relevant to this market. Evidence: SCORE Daily Desktop Guide; SCORE Operating Manual; SCORE Training Manual; SCORE Marketing Guide; SCORE Fundraising Guide; Cooperative Agreement Does the program have a limited number of specific long-term performance measures that Answer: YES Question Weight:13% 2.1 focus on outcomes and meaningfully reflect the purpose of the program? Explanation: SBA has developed long-term measures as reflected in the agency's Strategic Plan. In SCORE's five-year plan, the program purpose was refined and performance measures and annual goals supporting the purpose of the program were articulated and disseminated. Performance-based allocation of funding to local chapters is based on chapter achievement against goals. Additionally, SBA has incorporated these long-term measures into its draft research plan which will enable the agency to establish baselines and collect yearly data on goal attainment. The forthcoming Cooperative Agreement for SCORE reflects both long-term and annual goals. Evidence: The U.S. Small Business Administration Strategic Plan, Fiscal Year 2003-Fiscal Year 2008; SCORE Strategic Plan, FY 2005 SBA Budget Submission and FY 2004 SCORE Cooperative Agreement and the research plan. Answer: YES 2.2 Does the program have ambitious targets and timeframes for its long-term measures? Question Weight: 13% Explanation: Outcome measurements have been developed and are contained in program strategic plans. Goals are structured in a way to achieve program efficiencies concurrent with long-term improvement and program delivery. The program is being challenged to improve program capacity; targets have been set which are ambitious yet achievable utilizing current resources for long-term program delivery. Baselines and refined levels for targets will be further developed with the implementation of the research project in FY 2004. Evidence: The U.S. Small Business Administration Strategic Plan, Fiscal Year 2003-Fiscal Year 2008; SCORE Strategic Plan and the FY 2005 SBA Budget Submission. Answer: YES 2.3Does the program have a limited number of specific annual performance measures that Question Weight13% can demonstrate progress toward achieving the program's long-term goals? Explanation: Samples of annual performance criteria in the Notice of Award: SCORE will increase by 3% the number of clients counseled and trained from a baseline of 510,000 clients. Evidence: Cooperative Agreement and Notice of Award; SBA Scorecard and SCORE Strategic Plan Scorecard.

SCORE	Section	n Sco	res		Rating
Small Business Administration		1 2 3		4	Moderately
	80%	88%	100%	60%	Effective
Block/Formula					_
Does the program have baselines and ambitious targets for its annual measures?	Answer:	YES		Qu	estion Weight13%
Cooperative Agreement; SBA Scorecard and FY 2005 Budget Submission; SCORE Scorecard and Annu Reports; Quarterly Narrative and Financial Reports; Annual Audit	ual Perforn	nance	Plan; M	onthly	Chapter Goaling
Do all partners (including grantees, sub-grantees, contractors, cost-sharing partners, and other government partners) commit to and work toward the annual and/or long-term goals of the program?  Question Weight:1				estion Weight:13%	
SCORE commits to long-term and annual goals that are negotiated between the National SCORE Association and SBA, provision of which is contained in the annual Notice of Award, the SBA's 5-Year Strategic Plan and the SBA's annual budget request. Annual goals are derived from the FY 2002 baseline and include quantifiable and ambitious targets of increasing both clients served and increasing the diversity in the client base and in the counselor corp. The National Program Manager and the Grants Manager monitor performance through quarterly narrative reports and timelines which include program deliverables (outputs and outcomes).					
Cooperative Agreement; SBA Scorecard and FY 2005 Budget Submission; SCORE Scorecard and Annu Reports; Quarterly Narrative and Financial Reports; Annual Audit	ual Perforn	nance i	Plan; M	onthly	Chapter Goaling
Are independent evaluations of sufficient scope and quality conducted on a regular basis or as needed to support program improvements and evaluate effectiveness and relevance to the problem, interest, or need?	Answer:	NO		Qu	estion Weight13%
ED has contracted for services to produce a methodology for program evaluations for all ED programs, including SCORE. The study will commence in June 2004 and a final report will be issued in September 2004.				vill commence in	
2004 Contracted Survey; Periodic QSRs (every 2-3 years); CSI Customer Satisfaction Survey.					
Are Budget requests explicitly tied to accomplishment of the annual and long-term performance goals, and are the resource needs presented in a complete and transparent manner in the program's budget?	Answer:	YES		Qu	estion Weight13%
: The SBA FY 2004 budget request directly identifies quantifiable performance targets which were derived from a FY 2002 baseline and the resources necessary for their accomplishment. Future requests for funding are based on performance.					
Cooperative Agreement; SBA Budget Request and Performance Plan; SCORE Audited Financial State	ements; SC	CORE	Annual	Report	
	Does the program have baselines and ambitious targets for its annual measures?  Baselines have been established for this program beginning with FY 2002 figures. A targeted 3% grow clients served from an actual of 440293 in FY 02 to 462,257 in FY 03. However, new goals based on m as the research plan develops an effective economic impact measure and test model.  Cooperative Agreement; SBA Scorecard and FY 2005 Budget Submission; SCORE Scorecard and Annu Reports; Quarterly Narrative and Financial Reports; Annual Audit  Do all partners (including grantees, sub-grantees, contractors, cost-sharing partners, and other government partners) commit to and work toward the annual and/or long-term goals of the program?  SCORE commits to long-term and annual goals that are negotiated between the National SCORE Ass in the annual Notice of Award, the SBA's 5-Year Strategic Plan and the SBA's annual budget request, baseline and include quantifiable and ambitious targets of increasing both clients served and increasing counselor corp. The National Program Manager and the Grants Manager monitor performance throug which include program deliverables (outputs and outcomes).  Cooperative Agreement; SBA Scorecard and FY 2005 Budget Submission; SCORE Scorecard and Annu Reports; Quarterly Narrative and Financial Reports; Annual Audit  Are independent evaluations of sufficient scope and quality conducted on a regular basis or as needed to support program improvements and evaluate effectiveness and relevance to the problem, interest, or need?  ED has contracted for services to produce a methodology for program evaluations for all ED programs, June 2004 and a final report will be issued in September 2004.  2004 Contracted Survey; Periodic QSRs (every 2-3 years); CSI Customer Satisfaction Survey.  Are Budget requests explicitly tied to accomplishment of the annual and long-term performance goals, and are the resource needs presented in a complete and transparent manner in the program's budget?	Small Business Administration  Does the program have baselines and ambitious targets for its annual measures?  Baselines have been established for this program beginning with FY 2002 figures. A targeted 3% growth is goald clients served from an actual of 440293 in FY 02 to 462,257 in FY 03. However, new goals based on measurable as the research plan develops an effective economic impact measure and test model.  Cooperative Agreement; SBA Scorecard and FY 2005 Budget Submission; SCORE Scorecard and Annual Perforr Reports; Quarterly Narrative and Financial Reports; Annual Audit  Do all partners (including grantees, sub-grantees, contractors, cost-sharing partners, and other government partners) commit to and work toward the annual and/or long-term goals of the program?  SCORE commits to long-term and annual goals that are negotiated between the National SCORE Association and in the annual Notice of Award, the SBA's 5-Year Strategic Plan and the SBA's annual budget request. Annual go baseline and include quantifiable and ambitious targets of increasing both clients served and increasing the dive counselor corp. The National Program Manager and the Grants Manager monitor performance through quarter which include program deliverables (outputs and outcomes).  Cooperative Agreement; SBA Scorecard and FY 2005 Budget Submission; SCORE Scorecard and Annual Perforr Reports; Quarterly Narrative and Financial Reports; Annual Audit  Are independent evaluations of sufficient scope and quality conducted on a regular basis or as needed to support program improvements and evaluate effectiveness and relevance to the problem, interest, or need?  ED has contracted for services to produce a methodology for program evaluations for all ED programs, including June 2004 and a final report will be issued in September 2004.  2004 Contracted Survey; Periodic QSRs (every 2-3 years); CSI Customer Satisfaction Survey.  Are Budget requests explicitly tied to accomplishment of the annual and long-term performance goals, and are the resource nee	Small Business Administration  Does the program have baselines and ambitious targets for its annual measures?  Answer: YES Baselines have been established for this program beginning with FY 2002 figures. A targeted 3% growth is goaled. Esclients served from an actual of 440293 in FY 02 to 462,257 in FY 03. However, new goals based on measurable econon as the research plan develops an effective economic impact measure and test model.  Cooperative Agreement; SBA Scorecard and FY 2005 Budget Submission; SCORE Scorecard and Annual Performance Reports; Quarterly Narrative and Financial Reports; Annual Audit  Do all partners (including grantees, sub-grantees, contractors, cost-sharing partners, and other government partners) commit to and work toward the annual and/or long-term goals of the program?  SCORE commits to long-term and annual goals that are negotiated between the National SCORE Association and SBA in the annual Notice of Award, the SBA's 5-Year Strategic Plan and the SBA's annual budget request. Annual goals are baseline and include quantifiable and ambitious targets of increasing both clients served and increasing the diversity in counselor corp. The National Program Manager and the Grants Manager monitor performance through quarterly narrawhich include program deliverables (outputs and outcomes).  Cooperative Agreement; SBA Scorecard and FY 2005 Budget Submission; SCORE Scorecard and Annual Performance Reports; Quarterly Narrative and Financial Reports; Annual Audit  Are independent evaluations of sufficient scope and quality conducted on a regular basis or as needed to support program improvements and evaluate effectiveness and relevance to the problem, interest, or need?  ED has contracted for services to produce a methodology for program evaluations for all ED programs, including SCOR June 2004 and a final report will be issued in September 2004.  2004 Contracted Survey; Periodic QSRs (every 2-3 years); CSI Customer Satisfaction Survey.  Are Budget requests explicitly tied to accomplishment of the an	Small Business Administration    1	Small Business Administration  1 2 3 4 80% 88% 100% 60%  Block/Formula    Does the program have baselines and ambitious targets for its annual measures?

Program: S	SCORE		Section Scores			Rating	
Agency: S Bureau:	Small Business Administration			3 100%	4 60%	Moderately Effective	
Type(s):	Block/Formula						
2.8	Has the program taken meaningful steps to correct its strategic planning deficiencies?	Answer:	YES		Qu	estion Weight13	
	The agency has developed a new strategic plan. Likewise, the agency is developing an evaluation strategy that will look at the economic impacts of this and other SBA programs.						
Evidence:	SBA SCORECARD and Strategic Plan						
	Does the agency regularly collect timely and credible performance information, including information from key program partners, and use it to manage the program and improve performance?	Answer:	Yes		Qu	estion Weight:11	
_	According to the agreement that the SCORE association and SBA make each year, monthly and yearly management reports.	data is co	llected	. The ir	nformat	tion is put into	
Evidence:	Management Information System (MIS) reports; Quarterly Narrative Reports						
	Are Federal managers and program partners (including grantees, sub-grantees, contractors, cost-sharing partners, and other government partners) held accountable for cost, schedule and performance results?	Answer:	YES		Qu	estion Weight:11	
-	,						
Evidence:	SBA Performance Review (Pmas); NSA CEO Contract and Performance Plan						
	Are funds (Federal and partners') obligated in a timely manner and spent for the intended purpose?	Answer:	Yes		Qu	estion Weight:11	
_	The annual SCORE appropriation is obligated by SBA's Office of Procurement and Grants Management office.	nt after re	view a	nd comr	nitmen	t by the program	
Evidence:	Form 1223, Approval documentation, Notice of Award						

SCORE Program: Section Scores Rating Agency: **Small Business Administration** 1 3 4 Moderately Bureau: 80% 88% 100% 60% Effective Type(s): Block/Formula Answer: YES Question Weight:11% 3.4 Does the program have procedures (e.g. competitive sourcing/cost comparisons, IT improvements, appropriate incentives) to measure and achieve efficiencies and cost effectiveness in program execution? Explanation: Within the SBA strategic plan, SCORE has agreed to increase program efficiency and reduce unit costs by 10% over 5 years. The cost savings of an all volunteer counseling staff is valued conservatively at \$65 million -- and all are experienced, qualified business executives. In addition, SCORE uses its internal volunteer expertise to develop and implement projects as well as leverage co-sponsorships and MOUs to augment funding. For example, a former VP of Bechtel led SCORE's development of its electronic database; and the newly launched SCORE website was the result of a partnership with Verizon. Evidence: SBA Strategic; FY 2005 Budget Request and Performance Plan; SCORE Strategic Plan; SCORE Strategic Partnerships. 3.5 Does the program collaborate and coordinate effectively with related programs? Answer: YES Question Weight:11% Explanation: Currently, SCORE, SBDCs and Women's Business Centers, and in the BIC work together to assist entrepreneurs and small businesses. Evidence: Answer: Yes Question Weight:11% 3.6 Does the program use strong financial management practices? Explanation: Strong financial management controls and procedures are in place. Quarterly financial reports are readily available. Internal and external CPA audits are conducted annually. Evidence: Audited financial statements. Board of Directors Report. OMB Circular A-110. 3.7 Answer: Yes Question Weight:11% Has the program taken meaningful steps to address its management deficiencies? Explanation: The program does not have any severe management deficiencies. SBA's CFO reviews the program annually to ensure that the proper cost controls are in place. Evidence: SBA budget request and Performance Plan, FY2005 3.BF1 Answer: Yes Question Weight:11% Does the program have oversight practices that provide sufficient knowledge of grantee activities? Explanation: Weekly face-to-face meetings between the program and the agency, weekly memo updates, quarterly reports, and the presence of the SBA Manager at board meetings and annual national meetings informs the agency about grantee activities. Evidence: MIS Reports; Quarterly Narrative Reports; OBCI Weekly Reports to the Administrator

SCORE Program: Section Scores Rating Agency: **Small Business Administration** 2 1 3 4 Moderately Bureau: 80% 88% 100% 60% Effective Type(s): Block/Formula Answer: Yes Question Weight:11% 3.BF2 Does the program collect grantee performance data on an annual basis and make it available to the public in a transparent and meaningful manner? Explanation: The SCORE program collects data monthly, quarterly and annually. The SCORE program is different because of the relationship with the Association. The Association reports annually to a board of directors. This report is available to the public. Evidence: SBA OIG Inspection Report: "Coordination and Performance Measurement in SBA's Entrepreneurial Development Programs" September 2000, annual report to the Board of Directors Answer: SMALL Question Weight 20% 4.1 Has the program demonstrated adequate progress in achieving its long-term performance **EXTENT** goals? Explanation: ED is conducting a national impact study of all its programs using an approved OMB methodology and survey instruments for SCORE, SBDCs, WBCs and BICs.Results expected in late summer 2004, OMB No. 3245-0351 Expiration 7/31/04 Evidence: 2004 Impact Study, U.S. Small Business Administration Strategic Plan FY 2003 - 2008; SCORE Strategic Plan, FY 2005 Customer Satisfaction Survey, SBA Scorecard and monthly SCORE goal reports. 4.2 Answer: YES Question Weight 20% Does the program (including program partners) achieve its annual performance goals? Explanation: This program meets all of the annual program performance targets. Evidence: FY 2003 SCORE Year End Report and SBA FY 2005 Budget Submission. 4.3 Does the program demonstrate improved efficiencies or cost effectiveness in achieving Answer: LARGE Question Weight 20% EXTENT program goals each year? Explanation: SCORE is moving chapters out of government-provided space into low-or no-cost community locations. Expansion of online counseling, now comprising 33% of all counseling, was achieved with no additional budget and has contributed substantially to numbers of clients counseled. On-line counseling is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, dramatically increasing the convenience and availability of SCORE counseling. Increased recruitment of volunteers has also increased clients counseled with no additional cost. As a volunteer program, it is very cost efficient in achieving annual performance goals with a value of \$65 million in volunteer contribution. Furthermore, SCORE is aggressively pushing for new, low-cost or costfree ways to reach the entrepreneurial market. All leveraged by a \$5 million grant. Nonetheless, the agency's internal administrative cost for the program increased significantly in 2001 and 2002 over prior years. Evidence:

FY 2003 SCORE Year End Report, SBA FY 2005 Budget Submission and SCORE Strategic Plan.

**Program:** SCORE Section Scores Rating Agency: **Small Business Administration** 2 3 1 4 Moderately Bureau: 80% 88% 100% 60% Effective Block/Formula Type(s): 4.4 Answer: LARGE Question Weight20% Does the performance of this program compare favorably to other programs, including **EXTENT** government, private, etc., with similar purpose and goals? Explanation: With the implementation of new economic impact studies, new long-term goals will be developed to focus on those outcomes. However, SCORE is SBA's most dynamic and efficient program under current measures. In terms of cost per client, SCORE, at app. \$30/client, is much more efficient than any other SBA technical assistance program. Their client counseling numbers have shown significant growth in recent years, and the program has taken a dynamic approach to marketing to entrepreneurs, providing a good counseling product, and cutting costs.

Evidence: GRPA Goaling and Report; SBA Budget Submission and Strategic Plan.

4.5 Do independent evaluations of sufficient scope and quality indicate that the program is

effective and achieving results?

Answer: SMALL Question Weight 20% EXTENT

Explanation: ED is conducting a national impact study of all its programs using an approved OMB methodology and survey instruments for SCORE, SBDCs, WBCs and BICs.Results expected in late summer 2004. OMB No. 3245-0351 Expiration 7/31/04

Evidence: 2004 Impact Study; SBA Inspector General Report #3-23, April 11, 2003; CommunityPoll Customer Satisfaction Survey, Research Plan and Statement of Work.

Program: SCORE

**Agency:** Small Business Administration

**Bureau:** 

Type(s): Block/Formula

 Section Scores
 Rating

 1
 2
 3
 4
 Moderately

 80%
 88%
 100%
 60%
 Effective

Number of clients who attend training programs, workshops, or seminars conducted by SCORE.

Additional Information:

**Measure:** 

<u>Year</u> 2002	Target	<u>Actual</u> 119,929	Measure Term:	Annual
2003	125,925	138,327		
2004	129,703	133,651		
2005	133,594			
2006	137,602			

**Measure:** Percentage of client satistfaction regarding usefulness of service and implementation of recommendations.

**Additional** This measure tracks attitudinal views of clients served regarding usefulness of service and whether they implemented recommendation into action **Information:** steps.

<u>Year</u> 2002	Target	Actual 83%	Measure Term: Annual
2003	87%	83%	
2004	87%	89%	
2005	90%		
2006	90%		

Actual 33%

Actual 29.5%

Program: SCORE

**Agency:** Small Business Administration

**Bureau:** 

Type(s): Block/Formula

**Measure:** 

Customer return rate

Additional Information:

<u>Year</u>	<u>Target</u>
2002	
2003	35%
2003	35%
2004	35%
2005	35%
2005	39%
2006	36%

**Measure:** Percent of counseling conducted by email.

Additional Information:

<u>Year</u>	<u>Target</u>
2002	
2003	31%
2004	32%
2005	33%
2006	34%

Percentage of clients intending to start a business, do so.

Additional Information:

Measure:

Year Target TBD

Actual

31

Measure Term: Annual

**Section Scores** 

88% 100%

1

Measure Term: Annual

Measure Term: Annual

80%

Rating

Moderately

Effective

4

60%

(Efficiency Measure)

Program: SCORE

**Agency:** Small Business Administration

**Bureau:** 

Measure: Additional Information:

Type(s): Block/Formula

Type(s). Blocks for mana

 Section Scores
 Rating

 1
 2
 3
 4
 Moderately

 80%
 88%
 100%
 60%
 Effective

Year Target Actual Measure Term: Annual

Percentage of in business clients intending to improve business through growth or efficiency based on counseling

TBD

2006

62

**Measure:** Administrative Cost per Client (\$).

Additional Information:

 Year
 Target
 Actual
 Measure Term:
 Annual
 (Efficiency Measure)

 2002
 30

 2003
 24

 2004
 28
 49

 2005
 63

Program: Section 504 Certified Development Company Guaranteed Loan Program

Agency: Small Business Administration

**Bureau:** Capital Access

**Type(s):** Credit

 Section Scores
 Rating

 1
 2
 3
 4
 Adequate

 60%
 50%
 100%
 60%

Question Weight 20%

Question Weight 20%

Answer: YES

## 1.1 Is the program purpose clear?

Explanation: The 504 program provides economic development financing and is specifically designed to stimulate private sector investment in long-term fixed assets

to increase productivity, create new jobs, and increase the local tax base. The stimulus is provided by making long-term, low down payment,

reasonably priced fixed-rate financing to healthy and expanding businesses which have the highest probability of successfully creating new jobs and

competing in the world marketplace.

Evidence: Small Business Act: Loan to State and Local Development Companies.

1.2 Does the program address a specific and existing problem, interest or need? Answer: YES

Explanation: SBA says that some entrepreneurs need affordable credit, i.e. long-term fixed-rate financing to amortize sizeable loans over a long period for fixed

assets and financial markets do not typically meet this need. The program assists small business management to plan longer term, expand business

operations, and increase employment.

Evidence: A requirement of private sector participants in the 504 Program is to present small business financing applications that will retain or create 1 job per

\$35,000 of loan value. SBA 504 Program records show a total of 1,190,990 jobs have been created and retained, as a result of total debentures

disbursed over the 16 year life of the program.

1.3 Is the program designed so that it is not redundant or duplicative of any other Federal, Answer: NO Question Weight20%

state, local or private effort?

Explanation: There is potential overlap between SBA's 504 and the 7(a) programs. While both may provide long-term loans for fixed asset purchases, the programs

have different financing structures. The 504 program provides fixed rate lending with the government in a second lien position. The 7(a) program, which also can finance working capital requirements, provides financing at variable rates with lenders and the government sharing risk as first lien

holders.

Evidence: Small Business Act - Warden & Assoc and PriceWaterhouse, LLP study 1997, 504/7a Matrix

1.4 Is the program design free of major flaws that would limit the program's effectiveness or Answer: NO Question Weight 20%

efficiency?

Explanation: While SBA recently addressed effectiveness issues (barriers to competition among certified development companies participating in the program),

efficiency problems remain (risk sharing). Under the program, SBA holds a second lien on borrower assets. As a result, the private lender (first lien holder) may not have adequate incentives to manage loans and mitigate non-performance; upon default, SBA often purchases the first lien holder's

position in order to ensure effective liquidation efforts. This increases burdens on the agency.

Evidence: 504 Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Program:	Section 504 Certified Development Company Guaranteed Loan Program	Section Scores Rating	
Agency:	Small Business Administration	1 2 3	4 Adequate
Bureau:	Capital Access	60% 50% 100%	60%
Type(s):	Credit		
1.5	Is the program effectively targeted, so that resources will reach intended beneficiaries and/or otherwise address the program's purpose directly?	Answer: YES	Question Weight20%
Explanation	Recent changes in program rules have improved borrower access to loans. In addition, a survey of 504 loan recipients stated, "Very few recipients (12%) thought they could have obtained a commercial loan on equal terms." The survey also states that 68% of recipients went to a bank first and were referred to a CDC.		
Evidence:	Warden & Assoc and PriceWaterhouse, LLP study 1997 - 504 Advanced Notice of Proposed Rule Making (ANPRM), public comments on the ANPRM, and the draft rule.		
2.1	Does the program have a limited number of specific long-term performance measures that focus on outcomes and meaningfully reflect the purpose of the program?	Answer: YES	Question Weight12%
Explanation	The program's statutorily required outcome goal is to create or retain jobs. To support this goals, the Office of Capital Access incorporated the following long-term 504 measures into agency's Five Year Strategic Plan.  1. Expand small business access to 504 lending by increasing the percentage of counties served;  2. Increase the number of small businesses that receives long-term fixed-asset financing, specifically those in emerging markets and rural communities;  3. Reduce SBA's administrative cost of the 504 program;  4. Expand the 504 program to increase its overall lending level.		
Evidence:	Five Year Strategic Plan. Small Business Act.		
2.2	Does the program have ambitious targets and timeframes for its long-term measures?	Answer: NO	Question Weight12%
Explanation	As stated in the agency's 5 year Strategic Plan: from FY 2003 - 2008, SBA's 504 program will assist in creating and retaining 580,000 jobs. Through the 504 program, SBA anticipates aiding 6,100 start-up firms in the next five years. By FY 2007, the 504 program will provide approximately 5,000 loans to underutilized markets. These goals reflect decreases from prior years.		
Evidence:	Five Year Strategic Plan.		
2.3	Does the program have a limited number of specific annual performance measures that can demonstrate progress toward achieving the program's long-term goals?	Answer: YES	Question Weight12%
Explanation	The agency has established annual goals for all lending programs intended to increase Federal assistance for deserving underserved businesses. Annual goals include number of loans, number of start-ups, jobs created or retained, and unit cost.		
Evidence:	SBA performance plan and annual budget submissions reflect the program's annual performance goal	s	

Section 504 Certified Development Company Guaranteed Loan Program Program: Section Scores Rating Agency: **Small Business Administration** 2 1 3 4 Adequate Bureau: 60% 50% 100% 60% Capital Access

**Type(s):** Credit

2.4 Does the program have baselines and ambitious targets for its annual measures? Answer: NO Question Weight12%

Explanation: While the program has baselines for its annual measures, targets are not ambitious, especially given Agency assertions that the new 504 rule will

greatly expand competition and subsequently lending.

Evidence: As stated in the agency's 5 year Strategic Plan: from FY 2003 - 2008, SBA's 504 program will assist in creating and retaining 580,000 jobs. Through

the 504 program, SBA anticipates aiding 6,100 start-up firms in the next five years. By FY 2007, the 504 program will provide approximately 5,000

loans to underutilized markets.

2.5 Do all partners (including grantees, sub-grantees, contractors, cost-sharing partners, and Answer: NO Question Weight12%

other government partners) commit to and work toward the annual and/or long-term

goals of the program?

Explanation: Agency policy requires each district office to meet a specific annual lending goal. The Agency monitors district performance on a weekly basis with

Agency Administrator. The program is goaled by GPRA toward increasing the number of loans made and to specific categories (i.e., loans to start-ups). Communication of goals to Certified Development Companies (CDC's) are done through District Offices, and through ongoing discussions with

trade group and annual conferences. Nonetheless, individual CDCs are not required commit to and work towards SBA's annual goals.

Evidence: All CDC's are required to make a minimum number of loans per year. The agency recently decertified 8 CDC's for not making the required number of

loans and have removed over 100 since 1993. Each CDC is currently required to make a minimum number of loans in their area of operation, if those established minimums are not met, the Agency will allow competing CDCs' to begin making loans in that region. The Agency's upcoming proposed

rule will allow for increased competition within communities to better serve the small businesses.

2.6 Are independent evaluations of sufficient scope and quality conducted on a regular basis Answer: NO Question Weight12%

or as needed to support program improvements and evaluate effectiveness and relevance

to the problem, interest, or need?

Explanation: The agency has conducted a number of studies covering a variety of aspects of the 504 program. The independent studies have validated the 504

program's focus, and IG's reports that have led to program enhancements via regulation and procedural changes. Nonetheless, SBA needs to evaluate the net economic impact of the program and ensure that loan recipients would not have been able to received loans from the private market at

reasonable rates.

Evidence: 1996 504 program study analyzing overall program by Walker & Co. Study in 1997 of the 504 program effectiveness, Warden & Assoc and

PriceWaterhouse, LLP. 1999 IG report on the oversight and management of the 504 program. In 2000, SBA completed a study, in conjunction with BLS, investigating small businesses that have received financing from SBA partners. 2002 IG report detailing oversight of the Colson contract finding

minimal management problems. SBA is initiating an expanded study in 2003 which will serve two goals: 1. Expand already existing data from SBA's

2000 study and 2. Verify the accuracy of data submitted to the agency by small businesses.

Section 504 Certified Development Company Guaranteed Loan Program **Program:** Section Scores Rating Agency: **Small Business Administration** 2 1 3 4 Adequate Bureau: 60% 50% 100% 60% Capital Access Type(s): Credit Answer: YES Question Weight12% 2.7 Are Budget requests explicitly tied to accomplishment of the annual and long-term performance goals, and are the resource needs presented in a complete and transparent manner in the program's budget? Explanation: The agency's annual budget request includes program performance and goals. The agency allocates full budgetary costs, including overhead to the program. As such, the agency can measure the impact of funding, legislative, and policy changes on performance. Evidence: SBA performance plan, annual budget submissions, and activity based costing system. 2.8 Answer: YES Question Weight12% Has the program taken meaningful steps to correct its strategic planning deficiencies? Explanation: SBA has committed to developing long-term performance goals. The agency is in the process of publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking, which addresses a broad range of strategic issues related to program performance. Evidence: 504 Program Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, draft regulation incorporating public comments. 3.1 Does the agency regularly collect timely and credible performance information, including Answer: YES Question Weight:11% information from key program partners, and use it to manage the program and improve performance? Explanation: The offices of Capital Access and Chief Financial Officer are collaborating on three fronts to address this issue. They have: 1. re-established the agency's Risk Subcommittee (comprising Capital Access and CFO) to maintain line of communication between the two offices and review (monthly) program data and trend analysis; 2. implementated the Loan Monitoring System (LMS) which provides broad portfolio analysis capabilities to supplement program supervision; 3. benchmarked and tracked the losses in the portfolio against what was budgeted to eliminate surprises; and 4. developed an econometric model to better determine loan subsidy costs and predict portfolio performance. New 504 loan program econometric subsidy model for the FY 2005 Budget. Loan Monitoring System. Office of Lender Oversight. Evidence: Answer: YES Question Weight:11% 3.2 Are Federal managers and program partners (including grantees, sub-grantees, contractors, cost-sharing partners, and other government partners) held accountable for cost, schedule and performance results? Explanation: Agency program managers are held accountable to the goals established by the agency. Employee performance assessments and reviews include accountability to those goals. District Director evaluations are also based on their success toward achieving their goals. SBA has policies in place that provide for the removal of CDCs that do not meet minimum lending or performance standards. In June 2003 the Agency removed 8 CDC's for not making a required number of loans, the Agency has removed more than 100 since 1993. Additionally, the Agency removes exclusivity of a region, and allows other CDC's to make loans if established CDC does not make the required number of loans to "adequately serve" the community.

Evidence:

SBA performance plan and annual budget submissions.

Program: Agency: Bureau: Type(s):	Section 504 Certified Development Company Guaranteed Loan Program Small Business Administration Capital Access Credit	Section 1 2 60% 50		Rating 4 Adequate 60%		
3.3	Are funds (Federal and partners') obligated in a timely manner and spent for the intended purpose?	Answer: Y	YES	Question Weight11%		
Explanation	All participants are aware of specific debenture funding days each month (established by agency Policy and reiterated to the Participants and field offices one year in advance).					
Evidence:	The debenture closings and exchange of funds are conducted by a private entity (contracted to the agency) and the CDCs, escrows, attorneys and borrowers. Disbursements generally are sent directly to interim lender escrows for controlled and specific uses involving the real estate transaction, construction/rehabilitation of a business structure and limited business purposes					
3.4	Does the program have procedures (e.g. competitive sourcing/cost comparisons, IT improvements, appropriate incentives) to measure and achieve efficiencies and cost effectiveness in program execution?	Answer: Y	YES	Question Weight:11%		
Explanation	: Under competitively bid contracts, private sector contractors are used to provide most services. These and cost basis through an RFP process. The agency tracks loan unit costs and monitors the efficiency			a competitive technical		
Evidence:	Activity based costing system. CDC reporting.					
3.5	Does the program collaborate and coordinate effectively with related programs?	Answer: Y	YES	Question Weight11%		
Explanation	: The program office works with local development agencies to assist small businesses in need of finance	ing for fixed a	ssets and la	nd.		
Evidence:	The 504 program is an economic development program and serves as a vehicle for job creation and ref	tention.				
3.6	Does the program use strong financial management practices?	Answer: \	YES	Question Weight11%		
Explanation	In addition to the agency's internal funds control practices, SBA has outsourced borrower payments and dispersion of payments to Colson Services, a subsidiary of JP Morgan Chase. Bank of New York is responsible for maintaining of secondary market and contact with investors in the government debenture portions of the loans. CDCs submit audited financial statements with signed opinions from their auditors. Annual reports are submitted and reviewed by agency personnel. SBA maintains a database that tracks individual CDC portfolio performance.					
Evidence:		99% of lenders participating in 504 are overseen by regulatory entities such as the Federal Deposit Insurance Company and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency. Each loan that is made through the 504 program is reviewed prior to approval by 3 independent entities consisting of the CDC, the lender in the first lien position, and SBA personnel who review and approve each loan.				
3.7	Has the program taken meaningful steps to address its management deficiencies?	Answer: Y	YES	Question Weight:11%		
Explanation	Capital Access, CFO, and Lender Oversight have developed a process to exchange information on the status of the 504 program. Office of Lender Oversight (OLO) has implemented a plan to review PCLP CDC's in upcoming risk based reviews to broaden SBA's oversight of the program. Additionally, the LMS system will allow for overall 504 portfolio evaluation. SBA's proposed rule will allow market conditions to determine the needs					
	Additionally, the LMS system will allow for overall 504 portfolio evaluation. SBA's proposed rule will of the small business borrowers and expand the effectiveness of the program.	l allow market	t conditions	to determine the needs		

Section 504 Certified Development Company Guaranteed Loan Program **Program:** Section Scores Rating Agency: Small Business Administration 1 3 4 Adequate Bureau: 60% 50% 100% 60% Capital Access Type(s): Credit Answer: YES Question Weight:11% 3.CR1 Is the program managed on an ongoing basis to assure credit quality remains sound, collections and disbursements are timely, and reporting requirements are fulfilled? Explanation: As a program that is statutorily required to have no loan subsidy, fees are used to offset default and other non-administrative costs. As such, there is pressure to effectively manage existing credit in order to maintain reasonable fee rates on new loans. In order to further credit management capacity, the agency has implemented a loan monitoring system based on credit scores. The system enables the agency to more effectively target lender reviews. Evidence: Program regulation, contracts, and the Small Business Act. 3.CR2 Answer: YES Question Weight:11% Do the program's credit models adequately provide reliable, consistent, accurate and transparent estimates of costs and the risk to the Government? Explanation: Program costs have generally tracked projections under the credit models. The agency utilizes historical data in developing expected cash flows for the program. These flows are validated by third parties and also reviewed under the agency's annual audit. In order to further refine cost estimates, SBA has developed an econometric credit model for use in developing FY 2005 Budget estimates. Evidence: Independent validation and verification contracts and annual audit results. Answer: SMALL Question Weight 20% 4.1 Has the program demonstrated adequate progress in achieving its long-term performance **EXTENT** goals? Explanation: The 504 program has a statutory goal of creating or retaining at least 1 job for each \$35,000 in loans. The agency has consistently met this requirement. In addition, the agency has developed its own goals in terms of the overall number of jobs created annually. As a result of a weakened economic climate and the agency's inability to meet original FY 2003 targets. SBA has decreased future targets. Evidence: According to lender and borrower reporting, the program has helped create or retain over 1.1 million jobs since the program was launched. The survey also indicated (self reporting) that 88% of respondents stated they would be unable to get a loan with similar terms without the 504 program. Warden & Assoc and PriceWaterhouse, LLP study 1997 Answer: LARGE Question Weight 20% 4.2 Does the program (including program partners) achieve its annual performance goals? **EXTENT** Explanation: On the whole the 504 program meets most annual lending goals. For 2003, the program was behind schedule in meeting lending goals for veteranowned businesses. The Agency is working with SBA veterans outreach and district offices to improve performance. Currently 70% of field offices are within 10% of achieving their goals with the greatest percentage of lending volume still to come in FY03. The SBA's FY2002 Performance and Accountability Report shows most goals as being achieved or substantially achieved. The performance for 2003 to Evidence: date (5/31/03) reflects consistent improvement in all goaled areas, and exceeding all 2003 goals YTD with the exception of veterans.

Section 504 Certified Development Company Guaranteed Loan Program Program: **Section Scores** Rating Agency: Small Business Administration 2 3 1 4 Adequate Bureau: 60% 50% 100% 60% Capital Access

4.3 Does the program demonstrate improved efficiencies or cost effectiveness in achieving Answer: YES Question Weight20% program goals each year?

Explanation: The Agency has decreased the cost per loan associated with the 504 program. From FY 1999 through FY2002, the per loan cost decreased 14%. The Agency has also achieved efficiencies in loan servicing. According to SBA's ABC model, costs associated with servicing 504 loans have decreased annually with an overall decrease of 30% from FY2000 to FY2003. Through the Agency's transformation pilot program, 504 application approvals have seen dramatic increases in efficiency. Turn around times for center processing has averaged 4.8 days compared to understaffed district office

Evidence: Per loan cost in 1999 - \$3,223, in 2002 - \$2,780. Agency 2003 ABC Model, Center Pilot Overview, Unit Cost Data.

4.4 Does the performance of this program compare favorably to other programs, including government, private, etc., with similar purpose and goals?

Answer: LARGE Question Weight20% EXTENT

Explanation: The overall performance of the 504 program has been favorable. This public/private partnership facilitates community development and job retention/creation. As a zero subsidy program, taxpayers only bear administrative costs for providing approximately \$2.5 billion in annual lending.

Evidence: NADCO Membership Survey, SBA Performance and Accountability Report

Type(s):

Evidence:

Credit

times taking over 14 days.

4.5 Do independent evaluations of sufficient scope and quality indicate that the program is effective and achieving results?

Answer: SMALL Question Weight20% EXTENT

Explanation: The agency has conducted a number of studies covering a variety of aspects of the 504 program. The independent studies have validated the 504 program's focus, and IG's reports that have led to program enhancements via regulation and procedural changes. SBA is drafting a proposal to conduct an updated independent evaluation of the 504 program, and has identified a potential funding source for the study. The study will evaluate the economic impact of the program and determine whether 504 loan supplement private lending.

1996 504 program study analyzing overall program by Walker &Co. Study in 1997 of the 504 program effectiveness, Warden & Assoc and PriceWaterhouse, LLP. 1999 IG report on the oversight and management of the 504 program. In 2000, SBA completed a study, in conjunction with BLS, investigating small businesses that have received financing from SBA partners. 2002 IG report detailing oversight of the Colson contract finding minimal management problems. SBA is initiating an expanded study in 2003 which will serve two goals: 1. Expand already existing data from SBA's 2000 study and 2. Verify the accuracy of data submitted to the agency by small businesses.

**Actual** 

978

989

40

Program: Section 504 Certified Development Company Guaranteed Loan Program

**Agency:** Small Business Administration

**Bureau:** Capital Access

**Type(s):** Credit

 Section Scores
 Rating

 1
 2
 3
 4
 Adequate

 60%
 50%
 100%
 60%

**Measure:** Estimated number of jobs created or retained.

Additional Information:

 Year
 Target
 Actual

 2001
 104,702
 104,702

 2002
 116,048
 116,048

 2003
 80,759

 2004
 80,759

2005 84,797

**Measure:** Number of Loans to Start-ups

Additional Information:

 Year
 Target

 2001
 978

 2002
 980

 2003
 1,000

 2004
 1,000

 2005
 1,050

Measure Term: Annual

Measure Term: Annual

Program: Section 504 Certified Development Company Guaranteed Loan Program

**Agency:** Small Business Administration

**Bureau:** Capital Access

**Type(s):** Credit

**Measure:** Number of 504 loans guaranteed.

Additional Information:

<u>Year</u>	<u>Target</u>	Actual	<b>Measure Term:</b>	Annual
2002	5,220	5,480		
2003	6,000			
2009	0,000			
2004	6,000			
200	2 222			
2005	6,300			

Measure: Cost to originate each loan.

**Additional** SBA expects to have 504 lending increase due to expected increase in competition. It is difficult to judge future measure based on past performance. **Information:** Increases in lending would lower overall unit cost.

<u>Year</u> 2002	<u>Target</u> 2,780	<u>Actual</u>	Measure Term: Annual	(Efficiency Measure)
2003	2,904			
2004	2,912			
2005	2,766			

41 PROGRAM ID: 10000364

**Section Scores** 

3

50% 100%

4

60%

1

60%

Rating

Adequate

Program: Section 7 (a) Guaranteed Loan Program

Agency: Small Business Administration

Agency:Small Business Administration1234AdequateBureau:Office of Capital Access/Financial Assistance80%88%89%53%

Type(s): Credit

#### 1.1 Is the program purpose clear?

Explanation: This program provides general loan financing for a wide variety of purposes to businesses that can't obtain financial assistance on reasonable terms

from other sources. This program fills the financing gap for those small businesses that are creditworthy but for other reasons can not otherwise

obtain the financing they seek under terms they can utilize.

Evidence: Section 7(a) of the Small Business Act.

### 1.2 Does the program address a specific and existing problem, interest or need?

 $Explanation: \ \ The\ 7(a)\ Program\ is\ designed\ to\ provide\ financial\ assistance\ to\ those\ small\ businesses\ deemed\ eligible\ by\ the\ Agency\ and\ which\ can\ not\ obtain\ their$ 

financing on reasonable terms from alternative sources. The SBA guaranty helps finance loans to riskier start-up businesses, existing businesses that have characteristics that make conventional credit unavailable to them, and to groups that own and control little productive capital because they have

limited opportunities for small business ownership.

Evidence: According to a study by the Office of Advocacy (Impact of Tight Money and/or Recessions on Small Business - 2003), small businesses typically rely

more for their credit on bank lending than larger firms do. As a consequence, smaller businesses may be more adversely affected when tighter

monetary policies or deteriorating bank health reduces the supply of bank loans. This was also supported by a 1998 study by Hancock and Wilcock,

"The Credit Crunch and the Availability of Credit to Small Business."

## 1.3 Is the program designed so that it is not redundant or duplicative of any other Federal, Answer: NO

state, local or private effort?

Explanation: The 7(a) loan program can provide financing for working capital, inventory and other needs, and fixed assets. This purpose overlaps with SBA's

Section 504 program which is specifically targetted to financing fixed assets. In addition, some overlap exists with the US Department of Agriculture's Farm Ownership Loans and Fund for Rural America/Farm Ownership Loans; the US Dept. of Transportation's Airport Improvement Program; Federal

Transit/Capital Investment Grants; and Formula Grants for other than urbanized areas; and, the SBA's 504 program. These other programs have

more restrictive terms and mandates than the 7(a) program.

Evidence: The GAO study, 00-220, page 30-32

#### 1.4 Is the program design free of major flaws that would limit the program's effectiveness or Answer: YES Question Weight20%

efficiency?

Explanation: The program is designed to help businesses obtain credit not otherwise available at reasonable terms. SBA relies on private lenders to make, service,

and liquidate loans under various sub-programs. These delivery methods are monitored for performance and cost. For example, in FY 2001 the

program failed to meet its goals. As a result, SBA analyzed the existing delivery methods and chose to streamline and modify SBA Express.

Evidence: Changes to the program were fully implemented in FY 2003 resulting in exceeding our loan number goal by approximately 10%. Additionally, the unit

cost for SBA Express is almost 4 times lower than LowDoc and half the cost of PLP loans. Also in FY 2003, SBA further improved its Loan Monitoring

System resulting in the agency's ability individual lender's performance.

42 PROGRAM ID: 10002346

Section Scores

Answer: YES

Answer: YES

Rating

Question Weight 20%

Question Weight20%

Question Weight20%

Program:	Section 7 (a) Guaranteed Loan Program				
Agency:	Small Business Administration		on Scores		Rating
	Office of Capital Access/Financial Assistance	1 80%	2 8 88% 89	$3 \qquad 4 \\ 9\% \qquad 53$	
Type(s):	Credit	3070	30,0	,,,	, i
1.5	Is the program effectively targeted, so that resources will reach intended beneficiaries and/or otherwise address the program's purpose directly?	Answer	YES		Question Weight2
Explanation	The 7(a) Loan Program is targeted to those small businesses (there is a size standards requirement) the get credit on reasonable terms from other sources (there is certification that credit is not otherwise averages" which the 7(a) Program fills are usually associated with providing loans to businesses needing a up business; offer single purpose collateral; and have limited equity.	ailable on 1	reasonable	terms	requirement). The
Evidence:	The lenders certify that they would only make the loan if it is guaranteed by SBA. Analysis of the data in the LMS system confirms that the borrowers in SBA's portfolio, as a group, have credit scores that are significantly lower than the credit scores of all businesses in Dun and Bradstreet's small business portfolio portfolio.				
2.1	Does the program have a limited number of specific long-term performance measures that focus on outcomes and meaningfully reflect the purpose of the program?	Answer	YES		Question Weight1
Explanation	The 7(a) program contributes to the SBA Strategic Goal 2 which has three long-term objectives (LTO) to measure the number of business assisted, their success rate as measured by their longevity, numbe to national averages, and customer satisfaction. Additionally, the program measures intermediate our retain, which will allow for the measurement of the program impact.	r of employ	ees and si	ize of re	venues, as compare
Evidence:	Agency's 5 Year Strategic Plan. FY 2005 Performance Budget. FY 2003 Performance and Accountabi	lity report.			
2.2	Does the program have ambitious targets and timeframes for its long-term measures?	Answer	YES		Question Weight:1
Explanation	: SBA's strategic plan defines a host of ambitious long term goals. These include things such as having business survival rates that exceed national averages, SBA assisted businesses with higher revenue and job growth, and achieving a 90% customer satisfaction rate.				
Evidence:	Agency's 5 year Strategic Plan. Measures tab.				
2.3	Does the program have a limited number of specific annual performance measures that can demonstrate progress toward achieving the program's long-term goals?	Answer	YES		Question Weight:
Explanation	The Agency has established three ambitious annual goals for all lending programs intended to increas businesses. These include job creation, assisting new start-up businesses, and reaching underserved		assistance	for desc	erving underserved
Evidence:	FY 2003 Performance and Accountability Report and annual budget submissions. Measures tab.				
2.4	Does the program have baselines and ambitious targets for its annual measures?	Answer	YES		Question Weight1
Explanation	Aggressive numeric goals are established each year. For example, the loan volume attained in fiscal y 60,000). The goal for fiscal year 2004 is 90,000 or approximately a 50 percent increase over the prior fanother 22 percent over the FY 04 level or 110,170.				
Evidence:	FY 2003 Performance and Accountability Report. Weekly performance reports. Measures tab.				

Program:	Section 7 (a) Guaranteed Loan Program	Socti	on Scor	•0C		Rating
Agency:	Small Business Administration	1	2	3	4	Adequate
Bureau:	Office of Capital Access/Financial Assistance	80%	88%	89%	53%	Tuequate
Type(s):	Credit					
2.5	Do all partners (including grantees, sub-grantees, contractors, cost-sharing partners, and other government partners) commit to and work toward the annual and/or long-term goals of the program?	Answer	: YES		Qu	estion Weight139
Explanation:	The Office of Capital Access (OCA) partners with SBA district offices to achieve its performance goals. lending partners is important and critical to SBA's success in this program area. OCA forms part of th goals for the district offices and through it OCA and the district offices coordinate their efforts and enjoand/or long-term goals of the program.	e Goals To	eam. Th	is is th	ne team	that sets the
Evidence:	The Goals Team meets every year to establish the goals for the district offices. These goals are tracked The annual performance of the district offices is measure against their goals, and a rank is established recent OCA/OFA Scorecard tracking report demonstrates the coordinated efforts of our field offices and the coordinated offices are tracked to the coordinate of th	d according	g to thei	r succe	ss achi	eving them. A
2.6	Are independent evaluations of sufficient scope and quality conducted on a regular basis or as needed to support program improvements and evaluate effectiveness and relevance to the problem, interest, or need?	Answer	: NO		Qu	estion Weight139
Explanation:	In 2000, SBA completed a study, in conjunction with the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), investigating from SBA partners. In the future, SBA will be conducting an evaluation of the number of jobs per doll Expand already existing data from SBA's 2000 study and provide additional data to validate current probabilities to the Agency by small businesses.	ars financ	ed whic	h will a	serve tv	o goals: 1.
Evidence:	BLS study and new study will serve to validate the data that is gathered from the $7(a)$ applications on SBA with data gathered independently.	each borr	ower by	compa	ıring da	ta received by
2.7	Are Budget requests explicitly tied to accomplishment of the annual and long-term performance goals, and are the resource needs presented in a complete and transparent	Answer	: YES		Qu	estion Weight:139
	manner in the program's budget?					
Explanation:	manner in the program's budget?  For the past two years the Agency has delivered an integrated budget request and performance plan. long-term performance goals. This request represents a total of the resources that is needed for the pr		et reque	est is b	ased on	the annual and
Explanation:	For the past two years the Agency has delivered an integrated budget request and performance plan.		et reque	est is b	ased on	the annual and
_	For the past two years the Agency has delivered an integrated budget request and performance plan. long-term performance goals. This request represents a total of the resources that is needed for the pr		_	est is b		the annual and estion Weight139
Evidence:	For the past two years the Agency has delivered an integrated budget request and performance plan. long-term performance goals. This request represents a total of the resources that is needed for the present SBA performance plan, annual budget submissions, and the Five Year Strategic plan.  Has the program taken meaningful steps to correct its strategic planning deficiencies?	rogram. Answer	: YES		Qı	estion Weight13 <sup>c</sup>

Program:	Section 7 (a) Guaranteed Loan Program	Soc	tion Sc	oros	Rating
Agency:	Small Business Administration	1	2	3	4 Adequate
Bureau:	Office of Capital Access/Financial Assistance	80%	88%	89%	53%
Type(s):	Credit				_
3.1	Does the agency regularly collect timely and credible performance information, including information from key program partners, and use it to manage the program and improve performance?	Answ	er: YE	S	Question Weight:11%
Explanation:	The Offices of Capital Access and Chief Financial Officer collaborate on four fronts to address this issu (comprising Capital Access and CFO) to maintain a line of communication between the two offices. The program data and trend analysis. 2. Implementation of the LMS (Loan Monitoring System) provides supplement program supervision. 3. Benchmark and track the losses in the portfolio against what was of the Econometric Model allows the Agency to better predict portfolio performance.	e Risk S broad po	ubcom rtfolio	mittee m analysis	eets monthly to review capabilities to
Evidence:	SBA maintains performance date on each lender through the Office of Lender Oversight. SBA reviews SBA loans to check compliance with Agency requirements. SBA annually re-computes its 7(a) Subsidy Model is currently in place.				
3.2	Are Federal managers and program partners (including grantees, sub-grantees, contractors, cost-sharing partners, and other government partners) held accountable for cost, schedule and performance results?	Answ	er: YE	S	Question Weight:11%
Explanation:	n: SBA employees' personnel appraisal requirements are linked directly to agency and program goals. Additionally, lenders who perform well and maintain a sufficient sized portfolio of loans guaranteed by SBA are delegated more authority which allows them the ability to obtain a faster response from SBA. Lenders who do not perform are removed from the ranks of delegated lender and required to have their decisions re-reviewed by SBA or worse removed from participation all together.				
Evidence:	Personnel plans. Standard Operating Procedures. Regulations.				
3.3	Are funds (Federal and partners') obligated in a timely manner and spent for the intended purpose?	Answ	er: YE	S	Question Weight:11%
Explanation:	Funds are obligated at the time of loan approval. This is generally within 1 to 10 days of the lender's	request.			
Evidence:	90 percent of all guarantees are being issued in FY 2004 within 24 hours of receipt of the request (up to				

**Program:** Section 7 (a) Guaranteed Loan Program Section Scores Rating Agency: **Small Business Administration** 2 3 1 4 Adequate **Bureau:** 80% 88% 89% 53% Office of Capital Access/Financial Assistance

Type(s): Credit

3.4 Does the program have procedures (e.g. competitive sourcing/cost comparisons, IT Answer: YES Question Weight11%

improvements, appropriate incentives) to measure and achieve efficiencies and cost

effectiveness in program execution?

Explanation: The efficiences of the program are continually measured through the agency's activity based costing system and necessary improvements are

implemented, including simplifying and centralizing the Agency's lending programs, and reducing Agency staffing requirements from several hundred to less than 20. Private sector contractors are used to provide most labor intensive services. These contracts are awarded on a competitive technical and cost basis through an RFP process. As an example, lenders do the bulk of the work associated with loan credit applications, loan servicing and loan resolution activities, and are encouraged through less paperwork and quicker turn around times which results in a more cost effective use of

SBA's limited staff and resources.

Evidence: Activity based costing reports.

3.5 Does the program collaborate and coordinate effectively with related programs? Answer: YES Question Weight:11%

Explanation: This program is meant as a complement to other programs managed by the agency. Although the program is used in similar industries to the 504

program, the uses of the funds are often for different purposes. Collaboration often takes place among SBA field office lending professionals, lending partners and 504 Loan Community Development Companies to work our the best combination of financing to benefit the proposed borrower and all other parties. This generally results in a stong combination of a long-term fixed rate mortgage loan under the 504 program and a variable rate

working capital and inventory loan under 7(a).

Evidence: 7(a) and 504 loan program comparison matrix.

3.6 Does the program use strong financial management practices? Answer: YES Question Weight:11%

Explanation: The agency uses private-sector partners to help manage program cash flows, including loan reporting services and the collection of ongoing lender

payments. In addition, SBA implemented a econometric credit model to improve subsidy cost estimates (although the agency has been cited for the need to improve model documentation). Finally, SBA recently implemented a Loan Monitoring System that allows the agency to track lender performance relative to the the credit scores of borrowers in the lenders' portfolios. This tool provides SBA with the ability to identify problem lenders and take necessary corrective actions. While financial management practices are generally good, the Inpector General has found weak guaranty

purchase controls and other areas in need for improvement.

Evidence: SBA Standard Operating Procedures. FY 2003 Financial Statement Audit. Audit of the Guaranty Purchase Process (IG Report #3-15).

_					
	Section 7 (a) Guaranteed Loan Program	Section	on Scores		Rating
	Small Business Administration	1	2 3		Adequate
	Office of Capital Access/Financial Assistance	80%	88% 89	0% 539	6
Type(s):	Credit				
3.7	Has the program taken meaningful steps to address its management deficiencies?	Answer	YES		Question Weight:11
Explanation	The Agency has indentified 9 management challenges, 2 of which relate to this program. The challenge and Accountability Report are "SBA needs better controls over the business loan purchase process" an oversight". The Office of Field Operations is assisting field offices with purchase backlogs, has develop daily, and is assisting Capital Access to centralize purchases in a National purchase/loan resolution ce established to provide oversight. Information and training for 7(a) and 504 participants under the new through industry conferences, SBA district and regional lender meetings. The General Accounting Office.	d "SBA neo ped a purch enter. An O w risk base	eds to cont nase tracki Office of Le d review p	inue imp ng syste ender Ov rocess is	oroving lender m, which is updated ersight (OLO) was being undertaken
Evidence:	The OIG identified both management challenges cited in the Performance and Accountability Report, reports are generated at the new National purchase/loan resolution center which track the loans submit will follow each loan through the various stages of the purchase process to final completion. Progress and Capital Access/Office of Financial Assistance. The Office of Lender Oversight generates monthly purpose of analyzing risk assessment and determining lender reviews. Progress on both of these chall of the CFO.	nitted by le is also trac reports on	nder parti ked in the 7(a) and 5	cipants f Office of 04 partic	or purchase. This f Field Operations sipants for the
3.CR1	Is the program managed on an ongoing basis to assure credit quality remains sound, collections and disbursements are timely, and reporting requirements are fulfilled?	Answer	YES		Question Weight:11
Explanation	The quality of the portfolio is managed through monitoring the performance of each lender. The lender are given the most training and audits or they can be downgraded on their level of authority. Lenders From these reports SBA can determine the delinquency and currency rates for each lender and know any shortcomings	provide m	onthly rep	orts on t	he loan status.
Evidence:	The SBA has criteria established for nomination into and retention in the Preferred Lender's Program which provides lenders with a desirable amount of delegated authority. This authority allows these lenders to obtain an almost immediate response from SBA on a lender's request for guaranty. Loan Monitoring System. Standard Operating Procedures.				
3.CR2	Do the program's credit models adequately provide reliable, consistent, accurate and transparent estimates of costs and the risk to the Government?	Answer	NO		Question Weight:11
Explanation	While SBA developed a new econometric model to better estimate the cost of the program, estimating failed to include the cost of defaulted interest reimbursements in the 2005 subsidy estimates. This is				
Evidence:	GAO Report 04-9, "Model for 7(a) Program Subsidy Had Reasonable Equations, But Inadequate Documents of the Company of the Comp	mentation	Hampered	Externa	l Review."
4.1	Has the program demonstrated adequate progress in achieving its long-term performance goals?	Answer	SMALL EXTEN		Question Weight20
Explanation	The 7(a) program continues to show increasing growth with a greater impact on job creation. Other massisted businesses will take several years to measure.	ieasures, ii	ncluding th	ne surviv	al rate of SBA-

In the past 5 years more than 1 million jobs have been created. Performance and Accountability Report. Five-year Strategic Plan.

Evidence:

**Program:** Section 7 (a) Guaranteed Loan Program Section Scores Rating Agency: Small Business Administration 2 3 1 4 Adequate Bureau: 80% 88% 89% 53% Office of Capital Access/Financial Assistance Type(s): Credit Answer: LARGE Question Weight20% 4.2 Does the program (including program partners) achieve its annual performance goals? EXTENT Explanation: The 7(a) program has consistently and significantly contributed to overall annual Agency lending goals, which focus on expanding loan volume and increasing penetration into the underserved markets - Women Owned Businesses, Veterans and Minority Business Owners. Evidence: The SBA's FY2002 Performance and Accountability Report shows most goals as being achieved or substantially achieved. The performance for 2004 to date (3/31/04) reflects consistent improvement over FY2003. Answer: LARGE Question Weight20% 4.3 Does the program demonstrate improved efficiencies or cost effectiveness in achieving **EXTENT** program goals each year? Explanation: Program costs have been reduced by increasingly relying on lending partners for origination, servicing, and liquidation functions. For example: The liquidation cost for FY 2003 was \$32.1 million, the estimated cost for FY 2004 is \$14.1 million. The streamlining of SBA Express that was implemented in FY 2003 resulted in SBA exceeding its loan number goal by approximately 10%. Additionally, the unit cost for SBA Express is almost 4 times lower than LowDoc and half the cost of PLP Loans. E-Tran is another component of an overall strategy to provide increased efficiency and decreased costs in the loan guaranty origination process. With the introduction of E-Tran, lenders are able electronically submit loan applications and receive immediate approvals from the Agency, thus reducing the 24 hour response time by the Agency. Evidence: Activity based costing report. 2005 Budget submission. Answer: LARGE Question Weight 20% 4.4 Does the performance of this program compare favorably to other programs, including **EXTENT** government, private, etc., with similar purpose and goals? Explanation: While there is no other program (government or private) with the same goals, the performance is consistent with, and complementary to, the performance of private sector lenders, making similar types of loans. The loans guaranteed by SBA are of a lower quality from what the private sector is willing to make and approximately 93 percent of the dollars are repaid by the borrowers. Evidence: See response to 1.3. FY 2004 Performance Outputs and the FY 2003 Performance and Accountability Report. Answer: SMALL Question Weight20% 4.5 Do independent evaluations of sufficient scope and quality indicate that the program is **EXTENT** effective and achieving results? Explanation: To date, there are no evaluation of sufficient scope or quality to provide assurance that the program is effective and achieving outcomes. Nonetheless, anecdotal evidence seems to indicate positive effects of the programs (e.g., increasing number of loans, smaller loan sizes). In order to address the existing lack of data to support outcome goals, SBA will be conducting an evaluation on jobs per dollar financed. The agency is also evaluating options for independent study that may include the Inspector General's office or an outside contractor. Evidence: Performance Accountability Report. Weekly loan tracking report. Department of Labor statistics.

**Program:** Section 7 (a) Guaranteed Loan Program

Agency: **Small Business Administration** 

Bureau: Office of Capital Access/Financial Assistance

Type(s):

Credit

**Measure:** Number of prospective and start-up businesses assisted.

This is equilivant to the number of 7(a) loans approved. It is both an outcome and an output. It is an outcome because it eliminates the possibility the Additional

**Information:** focusing only on businesses that have a high possibility of being successful.

Measure Term: Annual Year **Target** Actual

2003/2007 106,400

Measure: Achieve a median customer satisfaction rating.

Additional Information:

> Year **Target Actual** Measure Term: Annual

2003/2007 90%

Measure: Increase the number of prospective and existing small businesses facing special competitive opportunity challenges.

Groups that are tracked as part of the competitive oportunity gaps category are: Rural, Women, Veteran, African American, Hispanic, Asian, and Additional

**Information:** Native American

Year **Target Actual** Measure Term: Annual

2003/2007 289,024

Measure: For SB facing special competitive opportunity gaps, exceed the national survivability rate for comparable SB within the first 2 years of existence.

Additional We will not know if we will meet this measure until we have received the national agerage figures

Information:

Year Target Actual Measure Term: Long-term

2003/2007

For SB facing special competitive opportunity gaps that were assisted by SBA, exceed the national average rate of comparable small business job **Measure:** 

creation within 2 years of that assistance.

**Additional** We will not know if we will meet this measure until we have received the national agerage figures

Information:

Measure Term: Long-term Year **Target** Actual

2003/2007

PROGRAM ID: 10002346 49

Section Scores

1

80%

2

88%

3

89%

4

53%

Rating

Adequate

**Program:** Section 7 (a) Guaranteed Loan Program **Section Scores** Rating **Agency: Small Business Administration** 2 3 1 4 Adequate Bureau: 80% 88% 89% 53% Office of Capital Access/Financial Assistance Type(s): Credit For SB facing speical competitive opportunity gasp that were assisted by SBA, exceed the national average rate of comparable small business revenue Measure: growth within 2 years of that assistance. We will not know if we will meet this measure until we have received the national agerage figures Additional Information: Measure Term: Long-term Year **Target** Actual 2003/2007 **Measure:** 7(a) Loans Approved for Start-up businesses Additional Loans Approved for Start-up businesses Information: Year Target Actual Measure Term: Annual 2003 15,588 2004 18000 7(a) Loans Approved for Wxisting Businesses Measure: Additional 7(a) Loans Approved for existing Businesses Information: **Target** Measure Term: Annual Year Actual 51718 2003 2004 72000 Measure: 7(a) Loans Approved for Competitive Gap loans 7(a) Loans Approved for Competitive Gap loans Additional Information: Measure Term: Annual Year **Target Actual** 2003 42,493 2004 44,617

**Program:** Section 7 (a) Guaranteed Loan Program Section Scores Rating Agency: **Small Business Administration** 1 3 4 Adequate Bureau: 80% 88% 89% 53% Office of Capital Access/Financial Assistance Type(s): Credit Measure: Administrative cost per loan (\$). Additional Taxpayer cost per loan including administration and subsidy **Information:** Measure Term: Annual Year **Target** Actual 2003 2,470 2004 1.415 2,349 2005 997 2006 729 Measure: 2.1.2 Increase the percentage of prospective and start-ups form among those smal businesses assisted. This is equilivant to the number of 7(a) loans approved. It is both an outcome and an output. It is an outcome because it eliminates the possibility the **Additional Information:** focusing only on businesses that have a high possibility of being successful. Year Target Actual Measure Term: Annual 2003/2007 76% The percent of SBA-assisted start-ups (one year or older) will exceed the national average for successful start-ups as measured by change in firm birth, Measure: change in firm terminations, and change in firms revenue. Additional We will not know if we will meet this measure until we have received the national agerage figures **Information:** Year **Target** Measure Term: Long-term **Actual** 2003/2007 Measure: For SB facing special competitive opportunity gaps that were assisted by SBA, exceed the national average rate of comparable small business job creation within 2 years of that assistance. Additional We will not know if we will meet this measure until we have received the national agerage figures Information: Year Target Actual Measure Term: Long-term

2003/2007

**Program:** Section 7 (a) Guaranteed Loan Program Section Scores Rating Agency: **Small Business Administration** 1 3 4 Adequate **Bureau:** 80% 88% 89% 53% Office of Capital Access/Financial Assistance Type(s): Credit Increase the number of existing small businesses that receive SBA Assistance Measure: Additional This is equilivant to the number of 7(a) loans approved. **Information:** Measure Term: Annual Year **Target** Actual 2003/2007 425,600 Measure: Increase the number of existing SB assisted and exceeding the national average. **Additional** We will not know if we will meet this measure until we have received the national agerage figures **Information:** <u>Year</u> Measure Term: Long-term **Target** Actual 2003/2007 Measure: Exceed the national average rate for job creation for assisted existing SB. **Additional** We will not know if we will meet this measure until we have received the national agerage figures Information: Year Target Actual Measure Term: Long-term 2003/2007 Measure: Exceed the national averate rate for revenue growth by small firms. We will not know if we will meet this measure until we have received the national agerage figures Additional Information: Year Target Actual Measure Term: Long-term

2003/2007

Measure: Exceed the national averate rate for revenue growth by small firms.

We will not know if we will meet this measure until we have received the national agerage figures Additional

Information:

Year Target Actual Measure Term: Long-term

2003/2007

**Program:** Small Business Development Centers

Agency: Small Business Administration

Bureau:

Section Scores Rating 3 4 Moderately 60% 100% 100% 67% Effective

Question Weight 20%

Answer: YES

Type(s): Block/Formula

> 1.1 Is the program purpose clear?

Explanation: The SBDC program provides business counseling and management assistance to current and prospective small business owners. It also assists in

economic development such as trade shows, analysis of community's strengths and weaknesses, etc. The SBDC program is SBA's largest resource

partnership, operated with state and local governments and institutions of higher education.

Evidence: 15 USC 648 and 13 CFR Part 130

1.2 Answer: YES Question Weight20% Does the program address a specific and existing problem, interest or need?

Explanation: The problem is that 80 percent of new business starts discontinue operations within five years. Among the most prevalent reasons given for the

discontinuance is lack of "knowledge." Also, there is a need to promote economic development by helping people with good ideas start and/or expand businesses. SBDCs also promote economic development by facilitating trade shows and conducting studies of the problems affecting businesses in a particular area and making efforts to remedy these problems. The program can address these problems and needs. Through resource materials, on-

site counselors, and training seminars, the program provides access to business knowledge and increases economic activity.

Dun & Bradstreet Annual Survey of Company Failures, SBDC Annual Reports, Chrisman Report Evidence:

Answer: NO Question Weight 20% 1.3 Is the program designed so that it is not redundant or duplicative of any other Federal,

state, local or private effort?

Explanation: While a national program, SBDC is a public/private partnership designed to be state specific, and it provides long-term, in-depth counseling to small

businesses. With 1,100 services centers nationwide, no other program covers such a wide geographical area. While the program has overlap with other technical assistance vehicles, e.g., Womens Business Centers, SBDCs administer unique programs like the Drug-Free Workplace, Compliance Alliance, & Defense Economic Transition. Additionally, SBA seeks to target the small business population that is not currently served by the private

sector. Each SBDC conducts an annual needs assessment to determine its client-base.

Evidence: MIS Reports and Cooperative Agreement. There are other programs -- federal, state, local -- that assist small businesses. While they do provide some

similar services, none are identical in scope or outreach to the SBDC Program.

Answer: NO Question Weight20% 1.4 Is the program design free of major flaws that would limit the program's effectiveness or

efficiency?

Explanation: A major shift was made in the program design when it was determined that institutions of higher learning could more appropriately serve as state

lead centers than State governments. This shift is considered a huge advantage for the program because of the depth of resources, administrative support, stability, and knowledge it provides. Also, the program leverages the Federal dollars by requiring a 100% match from State and local sources, adding to the program's effectiveness, outreach ability, and prevention of duplicative efforts. In an effort to make the program more competitive (currently a block grant), for the second year in a row, in its FY 2006 legislative package, SBA has proposed opening SBDC lead center grants to

competitive bidding every 5 years. This would help increase efficiency in the program through promulgation of best practices.

Evidence: 15 USC 648: 13 CFR 130, FY 2003 Performance & Accountability Report, FY 2005 Budget and Performance Plan, reauthorization proposal.

**Program:** Small Business Development Centers Section Scores Rating Agency: **Small Business Administration** 2 1 3 4 Moderately Bureau: 60% 100% 100% 67% Effective Type(s): Block/Formula Answer: YES Question Weight 20% 1.5 Is the program effectively targeted, so that resources will reach intended beneficiaries and/or otherwise address the program's purpose directly? Explanation: The intended beneficiaries are nascent, start-up, & existing small businesses, a market of over 18 million people. With approximately 1,100 centers & 6,000 experienced counselors & consultants nationwide, the SBDC Program has the capacity to target this market. SBDCs are required to develop and conduct needs assessments to determine their client-base and target them, and to document whether program offerings are meeting local needs. Also, SBDCs have the authority and ability to contract for specialized services for clients not available elsewhere, thus increasing their ability to serve their clients. Their ability to draw on host institution resources (universities, colleges) and draw on resources of their economic development partners in the community increases their effectiveness. While the formula-based funding ensures that a proportionate number of citizens in each State have access to the Federal resources, this lack of competition (and subsequent promulgation of best practices) undermines the program purpose. Evidence: MIS data, Chrisman Report, SBA FY 2005 Budget and Performance Plan. Economic impact data indicates that small businesses assisted by SBDCs in FY2001 had an additional \$6.56 billion in sales and created/retained approximately 131,000 jobs. Does the program have a limited number of specific long-term performance measures that Answer: YES Question Weight: 13% 2.1 focus on outcomes and meaningfully reflect the purpose of the program? Explanation: The SBDC program has one long-term outcome-oriented performance measure: jobs created or retained. The remainder of the program's measures are output oriented. SBA is in the process of developing a long-term research plan to more accurately test and measure SBDC and other programs in terms of economic impact outcomes. As this project is developed, program goals and incentives will be reformed to address these measures. Evidence: SBA Strategic Plan for FY 2003 Answer: YES 2.2 Does the program have ambitious targets and timeframes for its long-term measures? Question Weight:13% The SBDCs must create or retain 500,000 jobs by 2007. This is tracked annually. The SBDCs must increase counseling & training of established small businesses, rather than pre-ventures. This is tracked quarterly. Evidence: MIS data and SBA Strategic Plan 2.3 Answer: YES Question Weight:13% Does the program have a limited number of specific annual performance measures that can demonstrate progress toward achieving the program's long-term goals? Explanation: The SBDC program has established a limited number of annual goals to serve the needs of the small business community. Each SBDC has a needs assessment process in place as required by the Certification Standards. In addition, each SBDC is required to provide training and counseling to a specified number of clients based on assessed needs. These milestones/goals are part of the funding instrument, the co-operative agreement. The SBDCs also have a client satisfaction goal. Evidence: MIS data; annual narrative reports; cooperative agreement; client satisfaction surveys; SBDC Chrisman Economic Impact Study

**Program:** Small Business Development Centers Section Scores Rating Agency: **Small Business Administration** 3 4 Moderately Bureau: 60% 100% 100% 67% Effective Type(s): Block/Formula Answer: YES Question Weight13% 2.4 Does the program have baselines and ambitious targets for its annual measures? Explanation: The SBDCs have been given ambitious annual goals through the district offices. Although funding has increased only approximately 1% in nominal dollars, and has actually decreased in real dollars due to inflation, the SBDCs goals were increased by 3%. Under the existing measures, SBDCs created or retained 131,000 jobs in FY2001. SBDCs counseled and trained 295,000 established businesses in FY2002. Evidence: MIS data and District Office Goals Answer: YES Question Weight:13% 2.5 Do all partners (including grantees, sub-grantees, contractors, cost-sharing partners, and other government partners) commit to and work toward the annual and/or long-term goals of the program? Explanation: SBDC partners support the overall annual performance goals of the agency and report their activities related to those goals. The SBDC Program Announcement requires all SBDC Lead Centers to ensure they receive all economic impact data from all service centers and that the data collected is consistent. The data required from all partners includes the long-term goal of jobs created and jobs retained. Evidence: SBDC Program Announcement, MIS Data, Co-operative Agreement Answer: YES Question Weight:13% 2.6 Are independent evaluations of sufficient scope and quality conducted on a regular basis or as needed to support program improvements and evaluate effectiveness and relevance to the problem, interest, or need? Explanation: The Office of Inspector General conducted a study of the SBDC Program and conducts studies of individual SBDCs. SBA's Office of Policy & Planning conducted a review of the SBDC Program. SBA's Office of Entrepreneurial Development will contract for a study during 2003. In addition the ASBDC has contracted for the Chrisman Economic Impact Study. Evidence: OIG studies, "SBDCs: A Program Review, September 2001 Chrisman Study Answer: YES 2.7 Are Budget requests explicitly tied to accomplishment of the annual and long-term Question Weight: 13% performance goals, and are the resource needs presented in a complete and transparent manner in the program's budget? The FY 2004 budget request directly identifies quantifiable performance targets derived from a FY 2002 baseline and the resources necessary for their accomplishment. Despite a legislative requirement to allocate funds according to a population-based funding formula, states are required to meet their annual and long-term performance goals as stipulated in their Cooperative Agreements. SBA has proposed, as part of congressional reauthorization, to require each lead SBDC to compete in a competitive bidding process every five years and, as part of its Scorecard projects, is working with a task force to develop a legislative proposal to develop an incentive program for funding... Evidence: MIS data and legislative initiatives; Cooperative Agreements; SBA Scorecard; FY 2004 Legislative Package

	Small Business Development Centers Small Business Administration	1	tion Sco 2 5 100%	3	4 67%	Rating Moderately Effective
Type(s):	Block/Formula					_
2.8	Has the program taken meaningful steps to correct its strategic planning deficiencies?	Answ	er: YES	8	Qι	uestion Weight:13%
Explanation	The Office of SBDCs' strategic plan contains the development of a needs assessment process which will identify long-term needs and, therefore, long-term goals for the program. In addition, individual SBDCs are required by certification standards to have their own strategic plans. Also, SBA is working with the SBDCs toward a broad SBDC strategic plan that contains measurable outcomes.					
Evidence:	Certification standards, OSBDC's and SBA's strategic plans. SBA, as mentioned above, is working with OMB officials, independent researchers, and members of academia to develop new and better economic impact measures and a more reliable testing model.					
3.1	Does the agency regularly collect timely and credible performance information, including information from key program partners, and use it to manage the program and improve performance?	Answ	er: YES	S	Qı	uestion Weight:11%
Explanation	on: SBA collects quarterly counseling & training statistics and annual economic impact data from the SBDCs. The SBDCs also prepare a semi-annual and annual narrative report documenting their progress against their goals. SBA uses this information to manage the program. Nonetheless, SBA has historically encountered some difficulty in aggregating data because some SBDCs do not use standard data definitions. When an SBDC is deemed to be not successful, action is taken. This includes conditional funding or termination.					
Evidence:	MIS data; SBDC Chrisman Economic Impact Study; narrative progress reports; OMB Circular A-110;	13 CFR	143			
3.2	Are Federal managers and program partners (including grantees, sub-grantees, contractors, cost-sharing partners, and other government partners) held accountable for cost, schedule and performance results?	Answ	er: YES	S	Qı	uestion Weight:11%
Explanation	Federal managers and program partners are held accountable for cost schedules and performance results. Each year the SBA district offices and the SBA state directors negotiate milestones in the co-operative agreement. The failure to meet goals may lead to the termination or non-renewal of an SBDC. For example, the Louisiana SBDC and the Washington, DC SBDC were recently conditionally funded. They had to improve their achievements toward the goals in order to receive unconditional funding. SBA project officers also have a performance standard written into their PMAS, and their oversight of the SBDC program is reviewed during the Quality Service Review (QSR) process.					
Evidence:	$See \ SBA \ Project \ officer \ PMAS \ , \ Co-operative \ Agreements, \ QSR \ reports, \ Letters \ to \ Louisiana \ and \ Wassell \ Agreements \ , \ Part \ for \ PMAS \ , \ Part \ , \ PAR$	hington	DC SBI	OCs		
3.3	Are funds (Federal and partners') obligated in a timely manner and spent for the intended purpose?	Answ	er: YES	8	Qι	estion Weight:11%
Explanation	In accordance with statute and agency practice, all funds are obligated on a fiscal year or calendar year distributed in accordance with a statutorily required funding formula.	r basis i	n a time	ly mann	er; all f	unds are
Evidence:	15 USC 648 and the JAAMs system.					

**Program:** Small Business Development Centers Section Scores Rating Agency: **Small Business Administration** 1 3 4 Moderately Bureau: 60% 100% 100% 67% Effective Type(s): Block/Formula Answer: YES Question Weight:11% 3.4 Does the program have procedures (e.g. competitive sourcing/cost comparisons, IT improvements, appropriate incentives) to measure and achieve efficiencies and cost effectiveness in program execution? Explanation: Under SBA's activity based costing methodology, the federal cost of delivering services to SBDC clients is measured and tracked. Evidence: SBA's activity based costing system Answer: YES Question Weight:11% 3.5 Does the program collaborate and coordinate effectively with related programs? Explanation: As a public/private partnership, the SBDCs are experienced with collaboration and coordination. In fact, the SBDC program announcement requires SBDCs to work with other resources. They conduct joint training sessions with other SBA programs, such as SCORE and WBC and make mutual referrals to those resources. They also collaborate & coordinate with non-SBA programs such as MEP. While MEP is handling engineering questions for a small manufacturer, the SBDC can handle the business-related questions for that same manufacturer. Evidence: SBDC program announcement and cooperative agreement Answer: YES Question Weight:11% 3.6 Does the program use strong financial management practices? Explanation: The program adheres to the Small Business Act requirement that each SBDC be financially examined every two years. In addition, a year-end reconciliation is performed comparing the SBDCs financial reports to SBA's financial systems. Evidence: See OSBDC financial reports and year end reconciliation. Answer: YES Question Weight:11% 3.7 Has the program taken meaningful steps to address its management deficiencies? Explanation: Management deficiencies can be identified during financial and programmatic examinations. They are immediately addressed by SBDCs and SBA District offices. In addition, examiners follow-up on these deficiencies during their next biennial examination. If an SBDC does not address the deficiencies, they can and have been terminated or they can receive conditional funding or payments to the SBDC can be suspended. Deficiencies found during the certification process must also be addressed by the SBDC in order to receive funding. An SBDC can receive certification with conditions. In addition, SBA provides technical assistance to SBDCs that have deficiencies. For example financial training was provided to the California and Michigan SBDC Networks. Evidence: Financial & Programmatic Review Reports, Certification Reviews Louisiana and Washington DC Letters Answer: YES 3.BF1 Question Weight:11% Does the program have oversight practices that provide sufficient knowledge of grantee activities? Explanation: SBDCs receive bi-ennial programmatic and financial on-site examinations from SBA Headquarters. SBDCs also receive annual reviews by SBA District Offices, SBDCs are reviewed every four years by the Association of SBDCs in order to receive certification. SBDCs are reviewed annually by their host organizations. All evaluations are used to determine whether the SBDCs are accomplishing their mission. Evidence: Financial and Programmatic review Reports, and SBDC Annual Narrative Reports

**Program:** Small Business Development Centers Section Scores Rating Agency: **Small Business Administration** 1 3 4 Moderately Bureau: 60% 100% 100% 67% Effective Type(s): Block/Formula Answer: YES Question Weight:11% 3.BF2 Does the program collect grantee performance data on an annual basis and make it available to the public in a transparent and meaningful manner? Explanation: SBA collects substantial data from each SBDC network. This data is tabulated for each SBDC, for SBDCs by Region, and for the overall SBDC program. It is tabulated by quarter and by year. SBDCs also write annual narrative reports. SBDCs disseminate this data to Congress and to the public. Evidence: MIS data, SBDC Annual Narrative Reports Answer: LARGE Question Weight 20% 4.1 Has the program demonstrated adequate progress in achieving its long-term performance **EXTENT** goals? Explanation: The agency has articulated its new long-term goals for this program in its Strategic Plan. Both annual performance goals and performance measures have been developed and are contained in both the Strategic Plan and the research plan for the economic impact study. Goals include creating or retaining jobs, increase counseling of established small businesses, and increased on-line counseling. Evidence: SBA Strategic Plan 4.2 Answer: LARGE Question Weight20% Does the program (including program partners) achieve its annual performance goals? **EXTENT** Explanation: The SBDC program met or exceeded its annual performance goals four out of the last five years. The SBDCs are required to input their counseling and training statistics in the MIS System which collects performance on numerous outputs and outcomes. They must also state their achievements toward their milestones (goals) in their annual narrative reports. In addition, the SBDCs must conduct client satisfaction surveys. Evidence: MIS data, SBDC annual narrative reports, FY2001 Performance & Accountability Report and SBA Strategic Plan. In FY2002, SBDCs counseled or trained 651,421 small businesses; the goal was 631,349. Another performance goal was to develop a draft on-line needs assessment by September 2002; it was developed by February 2002. 4.3 Does the program demonstrate improved efficiencies or cost effectiveness in achieving Answer: LARGE Question Weight 20% **EXTENT** program goals each year? Explanation: The SBDCs have demonstrated improving efficiencies in achieving program counseling and training goals each year. Funding is fairly level, yet the SBDCs have increased counseling and training hours provided to small businesses. From 1999 to 2002, the unit cost of providing counseling to clients decreased from \$170 to \$154. Evidence: MIS data, FY 2004 Budget request

**Program:** Small Business Development Centers

Agency: **Small Business Administration** 

Bureau:

Section Scores Rating 2 3 1 4 Moderately 60% 100% 100% 67% Effective

Type(s): Block/Formula

> 4.4 Does the performance of this program compare favorably to other programs, including

Answer: LARGE **EXTENT**  Question Weight 20%

government, private, etc., with similar purpose and goals?

Explanation: The SBDC Program provides long-term, in-depth counseling, averaging 5.5 hours of counseling to each client. Other than SBA's SCORE program, which is volunteer based and has fewer average hours of counseling, it is SBA's most cost efficient program per client served. The Chrisman Economic Impact Study shows that SBDC long-term counseling generated approximately \$2.09 in tax revenues for every \$1 spent on the entire SBDC program.

In FY2001, SBDCs reported over 131,000 jobs created or retained, an increase in sales of \$77 for every Federal dollar spent, \$287M generated in State taxes, and \$294M generated in Federal taxes. The program compares favorably to the NIST MEP Program which assisted 149,000 small

manufacturers since 1989; SBDCs assist almost 700,000 small businesses every year. MEP's 2003 budget was \$105.3M; the SBDCs 2003 budget was \$88.4M. Since FY 2000, the cost per client for the SBDC program has steadily decreased from \$162 to \$135.

MIS data, SBDC Chrisman Economic Impact Study, MEP web site, upcoming program evaluation. Evidence:

Answer: LARGE Question Weight20% 4.5 Do independent evaluations of sufficient scope and quality indicate that the program is **EXTENT** 

effective and achieving results?

Explanation: The latest Chrisman Economic Impact Study shows that the SBDC program is effective and achieving results. It shows that long-term counseling activities generated approximately \$2.09 in tax revenues for every \$1 spent on the entire program (counseling less than 5 hours and training). It also shows that in FY2001 small businesses receiving counseling had an additional \$6.56 billion in sales and created/retained approximately 131,000 jobs. A recent internal SBA independent study indicated that the SBDC program has numerous strengths. The long-term goals established this year will also help determine whether the program is achieving meaningful results. In addition, SBA has one contract in place to develop a periodic independent evaluation of the program and, in 2003, contracted with IBM to perform an program review of the SBDC program. SBA has also developed an annual impact study that was approved by OMB in 2004 to provide baseline economic data and annual output and outcome data to analyze performance. The initial study is being conducted in FY 2004.

Evidence: SBDC Chrisman Economic Impact Study (Jan 2003); and "SBDCs: A Program Review Sept 2001"

**Program:** Small Business Development Centers

**Agency:** Small Business Administration

**Bureau:** 

Type(s): Block/Formula

Section ScoresRating1234Moderately60%100%100%67%Effective

Measure: Jobs created or retained.

**Additional** The allocations for start-up and exisiting clients are estimates based on a weighted formula.

**Information:** 

<u>Year</u> 2002	<u>Target</u> 240,139	<u>Actual</u> 254,009	Measure Term:	Annual
2003	247,343	268,139		
2004	276,183	284,769		
2005	284,469			
2006	293,003			
2007	301,793			

**Measure:** Administrative cost per client (\$).

**Additional** The allocations for start-up and exisiting clients are estimates based on a weighted formula.

**Information:** 

<u>Year</u> 2002	Target N/A	Actual N/A	Measure Term:	Annual
2003	14640	15842		
2004	TBD			
2005	TBD			
2006	TBD			
2007	TBD			

**Actual** 

Program: Small Business Development Centers

Jobs created or retained.

Agency: **Small Business Administration** 

**Bureau:** 

Block/Formula

Type(s):

Measure: **Additional** 

(1) Data not currently available.

**Information:** 

<u>Year</u>	$\underline{\text{Target}}$
2002	50,000
2003	132,000
2004	132,000
2005	135,960
2006	140.039

Measure: Administrative cost per client (\$).

Additional **Information:** 

<u>Year</u> 2002	<u>Target</u> 154	<u>Actual</u> 154
2003	N/A	135
2004	138	272
2005	155	
2006	153	

Measure: Percentage of clients intending to start a business, do so.

**Additional** 

(1) This outcome is currently under development with targets to be identified beginning in FY 2004.

**Information:** 

Measure Term: Annual Year **Target Actual TBD** (1)

> 61 PROGRAM ID: 10000368

**Section Scores** 

Measure Term: Annual

Measure Term: Annual

60% 100% 100%

Rating

Moderately

Effective

4

67%

(Efficiency Measure)

Program: Small Business Development Centers **Section Scores** Rating Agency: **Small Business Administration** 1 3 4 Moderately 67%**Bureau:** 60% 100% 100% Effective Block/Formula Type(s): **TBD** (1) 2005 2006 2007 Percentage of clients intending to grow business revenue, do so. Measure: (1) This outcome is currently under development with targets to be identified beginning in FY 2004. **Additional Information:** Year Measure Term: Annual **Target** Actual TBD (1) **TBD** (1) 2005 2006 2007 Percentage of client satistfaction regarding usefulness of service and implementation of recommendations. **Measure:** SBA is in the process of delivering its impact study which will allow it to determine satisfaction. **Additional Information:** <u>Year</u> **Target Actual** Measure Term: Annual 2002 (1) 2003 (1) 2004 88.5%

2005

89.0%

**Program:** Small Business Development Centers

**Agency:** Small Business Administration

**Bureau:** 

Type(s): Block/Formula

 Section Scores
 Rating

 1
 2
 3
 4
 Moderately

 60%
 100%
 100%
 67%
 Effective

2006 89.5%

2007 89.0%

Measure: Number of existing small business clients trained

 $\textbf{Additional} \qquad \text{The allocations for start-up and exisiting clients are estimates based on a weighted formula.}$ 

**Information:** 

Measure Term: Annual Year **Target** Actual 2002 142480 149670 2003 146754 159219 2004 163996 2005 168915 2006 173982 2007 179205

**Measure:** Number of existing small business clients counseled

**Additional** The allocations for start-up and exisiting clients are estimates based on a weighted formula.

**Information:** 

<u>Year</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Measure Term:	Annual
2002	97,659	104339		
2003	100589	108920		
2004	112188			
2005	115553			
2006	119020			

**Program:** Small Business Development Centers

**Agency:** Small Business Administration

**Bureau:** 

Type(s): Block/Formula

 Section Scores
 Rating

 1
 2
 3
 4
 Moderately

 60%
 100%
 100%
 67%
 Effective

2007 122591

**Measure:** Number of small business start-ups served.

**Additional** The allocations for start-up and exisiting clients are estimates based on a weighted formula.

**Information:** 

Year **Target Actual** Measure Term: Annual 2002 N/A N/A 2003 634307 812403 TBD 2004 2005 TBD TBD 2006 2007 TBD

Measure: Number of small business start-ups trained

**Additional** The allocations for start-up and exisiting clients are estimates based on a weighted formula.

Information:

<u>Year</u> 2002	<u>Target</u> N/A	Actual N/A	Measure Term:	Annual
2003	9360	10128		
2004	TBD			
2005	TBD			
2006	TBD			
2007	TBD			

64

<u>Actual</u> 397297

419396

**Actual** 

234100

249035

**Program:** Small Business Development Centers

**Agency:** Small Business Administration

Bureau: Type(s):

Block/Formula

Measure: Number of small business start-ups served

Additional

The allocations for start-up and exisiting clients are estimates based on a weighted formula.

Information:

<u>Year</u>	<u>Target</u>
2002	375603
2003	386871
2004	431978
2005	444937
2006	458285
2007	472034

Measure: Number of small business start-ups trained

**Additional** The allocations for start-up and exisiting clients are estimates based on a weighted formula.

**Information:** 

<u>Year</u>	<u>Target</u>
2002	222854
2003	229539
2004	256506
2005	264201
2006	272127
2007	280291

Section ScoresRating1234Moderately60%100%100%67%Effective

PROGRAM ID: 10000368

Measure Term: Annual

Measure Term: Annual

Actual

163197

170361

66

**Program:** Small Business Development Centers

**Agency:** Small Business Administration

**Bureau:** 

Type(s): Block/Formula

Measure: Number of small business start-ups counseled

**Additional** The allocations for start-up and exisiting clients are estimates based on a weighted formula.

**Information:** 

<u>Year</u> 2002	<u>Target</u> 152750
2003	157332
2004	175472
2005	180736
2006	186158
2007	191743

**Measure:** Number of training hours for start-up clients

**Additional** The allocations for start-up and exisiting clients are estimates based on a weighted formula.

**Information:** 

<u>Year</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Actual</u>
2002	N/A	N/A
2003	992121	1270681
2004	TBD	
2005	TBD	
2006	TBD	
2007	TBD	

 Section Scores
 Rating

 1
 2
 3
 4
 Moderately

 60%
 100%
 100%
 67%
 Effective

PROGRAM ID: 10000368

Measure Term: Annual

Measure Term: Annual

**Program:** Small Business Investment Company

Agency: **Small Business Administration** 

Bureau:

**Section Scores** Rating 2 3 1 4 Adequate 60% 88% 67% 60%

Question Weight 20%

Answer: YES

Type(s): Credit

1.1 Is the program purpose clear?

Explanation: To encourage private risk-taking and venture investing, for the benefit of U.S. small businesses and the customers and communities they serve.

Evidence: \$5.7 billion in private capital mobilized with SBA leverage since FY 1994; \$800 million in FY 02 alone supporting over 4,000 reported financings.

Total committed capital is currently over \$20 billion. Please see FY03 SBA Investment Division Business Plan for mission statement and program

goals, including as they relate to the President's Management Agenda.

1.2 Answer: YES Question Weight20% Does the program address a specific and existing problem, interest or need?

To fill the gap in smaller equity and debt financings (between \$300,000 and \$5 million). To expand the reach of venture capital, including into Explanation:

underserved urban and rural markets. To contribute to an environment in which employers can flourish and hire new people.

Evidence: National Venture Capital Association statistics show median venture capital investment at \$7 million in CY02, compared to average SBIC investment

of \$664,000 in FY02. SBIC investments made in 48 states are more geographically diverse than total venture. Only 30% of SBIC portfolio is "high tech" compared to 79% of total venture (with 25% of SBIC portfolio invested in manufacturing). 27% of the SBIC portfolio is invested in "LMI" areas.

In FY02, over 1.1 million people were employed by companies in which SBICs held financial interests.

Answer: NO Question Weight 20% 1.3 Is the program designed so that it is not redundant or duplicative of any other Federal,

state, local or private effort?

Explanation: SBA fostered venture capital when this market was virtually non-existent (late 1950s- early 1960s) and angel investors were the primary sources of

equity capital for start-up businesses. However, the venture capital market has matured substantially over the last 15 years (although it is currently in an economic slump). While SBA has a market niche providing patient capital for small business investments through public-private partnerships, the private market provides equity capital to all ranges of business including small. Further, debt financing is available in the private sector as well as

other SBA loan guarantee programs.

Evidence: SBICs currently provide over 62% of total (including private) number of venture financings. State/local economic development programs are not

focused on profit maximization, as is the SBIC program. However, the SBIC program provides 8 percent of total dollar volume of venture capital

investments in small businesses.

**Program:** Small Business Investment Company Section Scores Rating Agency: **Small Business Administration** 2 1 3 4 Adequate Bureau: 60% 88% 67% 60% Type(s): Credit Question Weight 20% 1.4 Is the program design free of major flaws that would limit the program's effectiveness or Answer: NO efficiency? Explanation: SBIC fund management and investment decisions are competitively sourced to qualified private venture capital fund managers. The government acts as a preferred limited partner and monitors SBICs for signs of poor financial performance or fraud. The program operates on a zero subsidy rate and, while not intended to make money for the government, is supposed to "break even" over time. Private industry pays for part of the costs of the program through fees and profit-sharing. While the SBIC program is well-managed within its current legal parameters, there are at least two design flaws in the program's structure that limits efficiency and effectiveness: 1) SBA's "profits" are not proportional to the investment that the agency makes in SBICs and 2) insufficient incentives exist to encourage SBICs to return capital (pay back principal debt) to SBA as quickly as possible. Evidence: Rigorous licensing procedures are in place and have recently been strengthened to maximize prospective returns on new SBICs. Post-license oversight and risk management tools have also been enhanced. Given the downturn in private equity, some structural changes are recommended to balance risk and rewards to taxpayers and to keep program self-financing over reasonable time periods. Answer: YES Question Weight 20% 1.5 Is the program effectively targeted, so that resources will reach intended beneficiaries and/or otherwise address the program's purpose directly? Explanation: SBICs may only invest in U.S. small businesses defined as having a net worth less than \$18 million and two years' after-tax income of less than \$6 million. Evidence: Target market is large enough to support the current level of SBIC financings. Program is sustaining seed/early stage and subordinated debt markets, with SBA leverage attracting SBICs into transactions based on higher prospective returns commensurate with risk. Program resources directly affect small businesses' ability to create jobs, economic growth, and innovation in the economy. A negligible amount of program resources has been paid erroneously or to unintended beneficiaries over the last ten years. Does the program have a limited number of specific long-term performance measures that Answer: YES Question Weight:12% 2.1 focus on outcomes and meaningfully reflect the purpose of the program? Explanation: Number of jobs created Evidence: FY04 Budget Request and FY03 Investment Division Business Plan 2.2Does the program have ambitious targets and timeframes for its long-term measures? Answer: YES Question Weight12% Explanation: Over-arching GPRA and non-GPRA long-term targets are focused on job creation and economic growth, based on SBIC financings and future program management Evidence: FY04 Budget Request and FY03 Investment Division Business Plan. Job creation in FY02 was estimated at 78,000.

Program:	Small Business Investment Company				
Agency:	Small Business Administration		on Scores		Rating
Bureau:	Sman Dusiness Administration	1 60%			4 Adequate
Type(s):	Credit				
2.3	Does the program have a limited number of specific annual performance measures that can demonstrate progress toward achieving the program's long-term goals?	Answer:	YES		Question Weight12
Explanation	(1) SBIC licenses issued and cases resolved (2) SBIC financings, total and start-up company (3) N Amount of SBIC liquidation recoveries and cases resolved	umber of S	BIC exan	ninatio	ns (4)
Evidence:	Financing data reported by SBICs. FY03 Investment Division Business Plan.				
2.4	Does the program have baselines and ambitious targets for its annual measures?	Answer:	YES		Question Weight12
Explanation	Precise numerical targets have been established for each goal.				
Evidence:	Targets are tracked both inside the Investment Division and at the Agency-wide level on performance	scorecards	/spreadsh	eets.	
2.5	Do all partners (including grantees, sub-grantees, contractors, cost-sharing partners, and other government partners) commit to and work toward the annual and/or long-term goals of the program?	Answer:	YES		Question Weight12
Explanation	Mandatory reporting by SBICs on their financing activities. All other annual/long-term program goals Investment Division management and staff.	s are withi	n the duti	es and	responsibilities of
Evidence:	Each SBIC files electronic reports on its financial position and all financing activity. Goals articulated business plan. SBIC receivership cases are performance-based.	l to staff th	rough reg	gular re	eview/adjustment of
2.6	Are independent evaluations of sufficient scope and quality conducted on a regular basis or as needed to support program improvements and evaluate effectiveness and relevance to the problem, interest, or need?	Answer:	NO		Question Weight:12
Explanation	Two issue-specific reviews conducted by the Inspector General (FY 2000 and 2002). The last GAO stu No funds currently budgeted for a comprehensive third-party review or audit of the program.	dy was pu	blished Se	eptemb	er 2000.
Evidence:	Continued oversight by IG and GAO is expected, but may be narrowly focused or incomplete. The Investigation of the program's effectiveness and need. Absent the resources for such an independent studies are from both SBIC reporting and industry publications to better assess program performance.				
2.7	Are Budget requests explicitly tied to accomplishment of the annual and long-term performance goals, and are the resource needs presented in a complete and transparent manner in the program's budget?	Answer:	YES		Question Weight12
Explanation	SBA uses a "performance scorecard" to track and evaluate performance. Budget requests must tie to perform objectives and how funds are needed to realize those objectives.	erformano	e goals ar	nd requ	ested resources must
Evidence:	Please refer to SBA performance scorecard and budget submissions.				

_	Small Business Investment Company Small Business Administration	Section 1 60%	on Scores 2 3 88% 679	Rating           4         Adequate           %         60%
Type(s):	Credit			
2.8	Has the program taken meaningful steps to correct its strategic planning deficiencies?	Answer:	YES	Question Weight:129
Explanation:	FY03 Investment Division Business Plan approved on $12/10/02$ and adjusted on $03/24/03$ . Plan specifiand SBA Strategic Plan.	cally relate	es to Presid	ent's Management Agenda
Evidence:	Investment Division had no "business plan" prior to its creation last year. Performance objectives are realistic and producing the results we want.	being cons	tantly revi	ewed to ensure they are
3.1	Does the agency regularly collect timely and credible performance information, including information from key program partners, and use it to manage the program and improve performance?	Answer:	NO	Question Weight:11%
Explanation:	Every SBIC must report electronically a quarterly report on their financial status (audited annually). of occurrence. Summary information is reported weekly to program managers. However, it is not clear regularly share information to maintain the most accurate records and use them in a way to inform primprove this deficiency. In addition, SBA is transforming its SBIC program employees into portfolio more effectively.	r that the ogram per	Investment formance.	t Division and OCFO Both offices are working to
Evidence:	Weekly program statistical output derived from program data base.			
3.2	Are Federal managers and program partners (including grantees, sub-grantees, contractors, cost-sharing partners, and other government partners) held accountable for cost, schedule and performance results?	Answer:	YES	Question Weight:11%
Explanation:	Individual performance plans are tied to program measures. Every employee within the program is he Personal Business Commitment Plan. Exam contractor and receivership agent payment directly			
Evidence:	Individual performance plans (PMAS/PBC), exam contract.			
3.3	Are funds (Federal and partners') obligated in a timely manner and spent for the intended purpose?	Answer:	YES	Question Weight:11%
Explanation:	Funding requests receive two levels of review and certified as to use. Once approved, the program has the funds in a timely fashion as a commitment. This commitment is subsequently drawn for financing documentation to the use of funds. Use of funds audited annually by the program examiners.			
Evidence:	Documentation supporting requests and reports on file and exam reports also on file. Reports from Ag obligations	ency's Loai	n Accountir	ng System to reflect

Agency: Bureau:	Small Business Investment Company Small Business Administration Credit	Section Sco 1 2 60% 88%	res 3 67%	Rating 4 Adequate 60%	
3.4	Does the program have procedures (e.g. competitive sourcing/cost comparisons, IT improvements, appropriate incentives) to measure and achieve efficiencies and cost effectiveness in program execution?	Answer: NO		Question Weight:11%	
Explanation:	Currently, SBA has no FAIR Act plan articulating the agency's plan to streamline functions and incre SBIC. Exam function in SBIC program will undergo FAIR Act review in the fourth quarter of FY03 a matter.				
Evidence:	Exam contract, FAIR Act review in fourth quarter.				
3.5	Does the program collaborate and coordinate effectively with related programs?	Answer: YES		Question Weight:11%	
Explanation:	Program is unique in government. However, it works closely with industry partners, such as the National Association of Small Business Investment Companies (NASBIC), SBIC, NAIC and government agencies to identify meaningful collaboration and avoid duplication of effort.				
Evidence:	Recent design and beta-test of new portfolio risk assessment model is based on industry advice and feedback. More transparent communication of program data, analysis and procedures is underway.				
3.6	Does the program use strong financial management practices?	Answer: YES		Question Weight:11%	
Explanation:	No material internal control weaknesses found.				
Evidence:	Internal Agency annual budget re-estimates, and program reviews.  Contractor on the financial management of the Agency.  Annual financial management of the Agency.	inancial reviews	conduct	ed by an outside	
3.7	Has the program taken meaningful steps to address its management deficiencies?	Answer: YES		Question Weight11%	
Explanation:	Program uses business plan and performance plans to monitor management performance and identify	potential weakne	esses.		
Evidence:	If weaknesses identified, action plan for immediate correction is approved and implemented. Program Act of 1990. SBA has taken meaningful administrative steps to improve program management. SBA Business Committees during fourth quarter of FY 03 to make structural improvements by minimizing proportional to the agency's investment, and providing incentives for SBICs to return capital faster to	will submit legisla risk exposure, ge	ative pro	posals to the Small	
3.CR1	Is the program managed on an ongoing basis to assure credit quality remains sound, collections and disbursements are timely, and reporting requirements are fulfilled?	Answer: YES		Question Weight11%	
Explanation:	Annual financial reviews of all SBICs (more frequent if needed), more than one level of review for disternance and site visits.	oursements, audit	ed finan	cial statements, annual	
Evidence:	Audited Form 468- financial statements from SBICs, Form 1031. Financial and examination reports to	used to check cred	lit quali	ty.	

Program:	: Small Business Investment Company  Section Scores			Doting		
Agency:	Small Business Administration	Section 1	on Scor	res 3	4	Rating
Bureau:		60%	88%	67%	60%	Adequate
Type(s):	Credit					
3.CR2	Do the program's credit models adequately provide reliable, consistent, accurate and transparent estimates of costs and the risk to the Government?	Answer:	NO		Qı	nestion Weight:11%
Explanation	The SBIC Participating Securities program is not designed as a typical credit program per se and thus monitoring techniques alone. However, the current credit model does not accurately capture all of the differences in financial data between the SBA's OCFO and Investment Division (currently being addressed and the current methodology does not capture fluctuations in the economy early enough to more accurately capture.	business "r essed), tech	rules" c inical a	r trans ssumpt	actions tions ne	, there are eed to be revised,
Evidence:	In an effort to further quantify potential risk (and reward) to taxpayers, the Investment Division, in concreated annual financial statements for the program, using items that were mapped from program data accuracy and transparency of the costs and risks of the program to the government. The agency has comodel for the FY 06 budget.	a. These a	nnual	financia	als will	enhance the
4.1	Has the program demonstrated adequate progress in achieving its long-term performance goals?	Answer:	SMA EXT		Qı	nestion Weight20%
Explanation	Program did not meet all performance goals in FY 02, but this is due to the program's market-driven fact that, while SBICs are making a proportionately higher number of actual financings, the amount of rest of the venture capital market.					
Evidence:	Failure to meet performance goals is also due to SBA setting expectations that do not reflect the realit instance, SBICs only took advantage of about \$2 billion of the available \$7 billion for SBIC investment performance expectations in accordance with the reality of the market for FY 03.					
4.2	Does the program (including program partners) achieve its annual performance goals?	Answer:	LAR EXT		Qı	nestion Weight20%
Explanation	The program is on target to achieve all of this year's goals, except for the number of licenses approved.					
Evidence:	Reporting information filed by SBICs proves that the financings this year are on track to exceed GPRA not a GPRA goal and is dependent on external factors such as funds being able to raise matching private the contract of	ate capital.				

been on resolving sub-standard cases -- an important contribution to protecting taxpayers against risk.

Agency: Bureau:	Small Business Investment Company Small Business Administration	Section Scores           1         2         3           60%         88%         67%	Rating 4 Adequate 60%			
Type(s):	Credit					
4.3	Does the program demonstrate improved efficiencies or cost effectiveness in achieving program goals each year?	Answer: YES	Question Weight20%			
Explanation	Actual operating budget is less than prior year, yet key program and management initiatives have ma efficient and effective business operation and by extension a more significant force in creating jobs a		ion a more streamlined,			
Evidence:	Licensing operations have been improved, leading to a higher degree of customer service, a more fact-based approach to due diligence, and greater coordination and teamwork. Once the backlog is addressed, these conscious efforts will result in faster processing by approximately 30%.  Oversight operations have been improved, moving more resources toward risk management and standardizing SBIC portfolio reviews. Financial statements have been created for the program: Profit & Loss, Balance Sheet, and Cash Drivers, as well as an SBIC Consolidated Net Income Statement.					
4.4	Does the performance of this program compare favorably to other programs, including government, private, etc., with similar purpose and goals?	Answer: YES	Question Weight20%			
Explanation	There is no similar government program. With respect to the private venture capital industry, althou cash position, it is outperforming the total venture industry. Moreover, it shows a fund performance potter than the industry average for both equity and debenture funds.					
Evidence:	FY02 reported financings are down marginally compared to private vc industry and FY03 figures are	rebounding from prior ye	ear levels.			
4.5	Do independent evaluations of sufficient scope and quality indicate that the program is effective and achieving results?	Answer: NO	Question Weight20%			
Explanation	Based on input from many sources Congress, the Executive branch, private industry, past Advisory Investment Division has acted on a host of recommendations to improve program effectiveness and acrecent independent evaluations demonstrating that the SBIC program supplants rather than supplementary of the control of th	hieve measurable results	s. However, SBA has no			
Evidence:	Please refer to FY03 Investment Division Business Plan for specific management improvements. Please SBIC Program.	use also refer to draft FY(	02 Annual Report of the			

**Program:** Small Business Investment Company

Agency: Small Business Administration

**Bureau:** 

Credit Type(s):

**Section Scores** Rating 1 2 3 4 Adequate 67% 88% 60% 60%

**Measure:** Number of License issued

**Additional Information:** 

<u>Year</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<b>Measure Term:</b>	Annual
2001		51		
2002		41		

50 2003

2004 55

Number of financings to start up companies **Measure:** 

**Additional Information:** 

<u>Year</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Measure Term:	Annual
2001		1,719		

1,178

1,800 2002

2003 1,500

2004 1,700

Number of financings Measure:

Additional Information:

<u>Year</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<b>Measure Term:</b>	Annual
2001	3,500	4,277		
2002	3,700	4,004		

Program: Small Business Investment Company **Section Scores** Rating Agency: Small Business Administration 1 3 4 Adequate **Bureau:** 60% 60% 88% 67% Type(s): Credit 2003 4,400 2004 4,500 Number of financings to established firms Measure: **Additional** Information: Measure Term: Annual Year **Target Actual** 2001 2,558 2,826 2002 2003 2,900 2004 2,800 Number of jobs created/retained **Measure:** Additional **Information:** Year <u>Actual</u> Measure Term: Annual **Target** 2001 127,000 78,000 2002 91,400 2003 2004 125,700

**Program:** Small Business Investment Company

Agency: Small Business Administration

Bureau:

**Type(s):** Credit

Measure: Number of exams issued

Additional Information:

Section Scores		Rating		
	2	3	4	Adequate
5 8	88%	67%	60%	•

<u>Year</u> 2001	<u>Target</u>	Actual 298	Measure Term:	Annual
2002		326		
2003	350			
2004	400			